

*the*  
**PLAIN TRUTH**  
*a magazine of understanding*

VOLUME XXVII, NUMBER 5

MAY, 1962



**Inter-American  
Foreign Ministers Conference  
in Session**

Wide World Photo

Diplomats, above, are seated at conference tables in Punta Del Este, Uruguay, at the opening of the Inter-American Foreign Ministers Conference with Communist Cuba a main item on the agenda. At left, starting from front, are Rene Schick, Nicaragua; Osvaldo Dorticos, President of Cuba; Raul Sarena Pastor, Paraguay; and Galileo Solis of Panama. Four men in group at center are, from left, Gustavo Magarinos, Brazil, and Secretary of the Conference; Homero Martinez Montero, Foreign Minister of Host Country Uruguay and President of the Conference; Eduardo Victor Haedo, Uruguay; and Jose Mora, Uruguay and President of the Organization of American States. In group of three at right are, from left to right, S. Perez Casas, Uruguay; San Tiago Dantas, Brazil; and U.S. Secretary of State, Dean Rusk.

# What our READERS SAY

## Young Minister Comments

"Dear Mr. Armstrong:

"A copy of your December '61 issue came into my hands and I have never read anything so thought-provoking. I am a young minister and have been preaching about two years. Your experience, headed 'What Do Church Goers Believe and Why?' certainly set me to thinking. What you found in your contact with organized churches parallels a good deal of my experiences. It is a revelation to come across a book such as yours. I would enjoy having your magazine sent to me each month."

Man from Cincinnati, Ohio

## Lawyer Checks Bible

"I am a lawyer by training and I have thoroughly enjoyed reading the articles in *The PLAIN TRUTH*. You really enlightened me about being born again. I have checked you in the Bible and see that you are so right. I am glad that I am not as confused in Georgia Law as some so-called Theologians are about the Bible!"

Man from Atlanta, Georgia

## Happiest Person in the World

"There are so many things I didn't understand in the Bible, until I started taking your magazine and many of your booklets. I try to get every broadcast I can. God has opened my eyes and I feel like I am the happiest person in the world."

Woman from Robbins, Illinois

## Finds World News in Bible

"We certainly have had our eyes opened to the truth. We never had any idea the Bible contained the world news of today, and ever since we really had our eyes opened we learn more and more each day with each broadcast."

Co-Worker from Australia

## Irishman Sees Bible Prophecies Taking Place

"What you have been revealing from the Bible certainly seems to be taking place before our very eyes. It pays to watch world events. I read your magazine every month. There is nothing to compare with what you preach, and it is backed up by Scripture."

Co. Tyrone, Northern Ireland

## Geologist Changes Views

"I listen to you quite often on KWKH, Shreveport. As a professional geologist, I suspect that you place me in the near-hopeless or at least obdurate category. I was the stereotype of the non-religious scientist for many years. Your programs for the last year have changed my views. From open skepticism I have swung to the attitude that you are most sincere. Thank you for the ultra-stimulating approach to the most important subject in the world."

San Angelo, Texas

● Every reader needs to study the article in this issue about the latest "missing link." It will open your eyes!

True science and God's truth never conflict or contradict each other. The trouble is that most scientists have chosen to leave God's Revelation out of their knowledge and have relied on their own theories. This geologist has found it is stimulating and exciting to discover *true* knowledge. An honest mind with a desire and willingness to confess errors is rare among men!

## The Correspondence Course Again!

"I want to thank you for the first two lessons of the Ambassador College Bible Correspondence Course I received several days ago. It is the most interesting, informing, inspiring, and the most helpful Bible study I have ever

(Please continue on page 22)

## the PLAIN TRUTH

a magazine of understanding

VOL. XXVII

NO. 5

Circulation: 395,000

Published monthly at Pasadena, California; London, England; and Melbourne, Australia, by Ambassador College. German edition published monthly at Pasadena, California. © 1962, by Radio Church of God.

### EDITOR

HERBERT W. ARMSTRONG

### EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Garner Ted Armstrong

### MANAGING EDITOR

Herman L. Hoeh

### SENIOR EDITOR

Roderick C. Meredith

### Associate Editors

Albert J. Portune

David Jon Hill

### Contributing Editors

C. Paul Meredith

Basil Wolverton

Jack R. Elliott

Clint C. Zimmerman

Ernest L. Martin

Charles Y. Dorothy

Lynn E. Torrance

Robert C. Boraker

L. Leroy Neff

Gerhard O. Marx

### News Bureau Director

Gene H. Hogberg

### Research Staff

Donald D. Schroeder

Jack M. Pyle

Ronald D. McNeil

Joyce F. Sefcak

### Editorial and Production Assistants

James W. Robinson

Donald G. McDonald

### Regional Editors Abroad

United Kingdom: Raymond F. McNair

Australia: C. Wayne Cole

South America: Benjamin L. Rea

### BUSINESS MANAGER

Vern R. Mattson

### Circulation Managers

United States: Hugh Mauck

United Kingdom: Charles F. Hunting

Australia: Gene R. Hughes

South America: Leon Walker

Canada: Dennis Prather

YOUR SUBSCRIPTION has been paid by others. Bulk copies for distribution not given or sold.

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS to the Editor, Box 111, Pasadena, California.

Canadian readers should address Post Office Box 44, Station A, Vancouver 1, B.C., Canada.

Our readers in United Kingdom, Europe, and Africa should address the Editor, Ambassador College, Bricket Wood, St. Albans, Herts., England.

Readers in Australia, the Philippines, China and southeastern Asia should address the Editor, Box 345, North Sydney, N.S.W., Australia.

Readers of the German edition should address Post Office Box 1030, Pasadena, California, or Die Reine Wahrheit, Ambassador College, Bricket Wood, St. Albans, Hertfordshire, England.

SECOND CLASS POSTAGE paid at Pasadena, California.

BE SURE TO NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY of any change in your address. Please include both old and new address. IMPORTANT!

# Personal from the Editor

FIRST of all, this month, let me explain an error in this column in the March number. I wrote about the new 5c postage rate for first class mail. But in the rush of multiple and heavy responsibilities, I jumped the gun and got considerably ahead of Congress and the Post Office Department. And now I know that several people, at least, are reading this personal talk from me in *The Plain Truth*.

What a storm of criticism, protest, indignation and accusation it blew up!

I wonder how many today assume that a called Minister of God is a species entirely different than human? I was like that, once. If you've been reading the Autobiography, you know that I was born of good and sincere Quaker parents. As a growing boy being taken to Sunday School and Church I remember that our Pastor was entirely different from the rest of us. We were human. Frequently he reminded us that we were sinners, consigned to hell unless we accepted Christ. But our Pastor was neither human nor a sinner. He was God's representative, and as such, he was a special type of sanctified species somewhere between human and divine.

Suppose you could enter a time machine and be whisked back some 1900, or up to about 3,500 years. Suppose you find yourself for the moment among the Israelites in the wilderness. You see thousands thronging forward to hear a man speaking. You push up front among them. It is Moses speaking. What sort of man would you expect to see? A great, impressive superhuman some ten feet tall, with a massive large head, and flowing wavy hair?

Suppose you re-entered your time-machine and were instantly flashed to the time and scene of Israel during the reign of King Ahab. You step out of your machine directly in front of this King, and you see the prophet Elijah speaking to him. What do you suppose

Elijah would look like? Would you expect to see a great, important-appearing man who would startle you with an emotion of awe and fright, just to look on his face?

What if you should be taken next to Jerusalem in the first century. Would the appearance of Peter, or the Apostle Paul overawe you? Or, even if you should behold Jesus Christ from Nazareth, teaching in the Temple? Would Jesus look totally unlike all other men?

If you have read of His life and ministry in the four Gospels of your Bible—in Mark, or in Matthew, Luke and John—you ought to remember reading of how, when Jesus returned to Nazareth where He had been brought up from babyhood, the people scoffed and looked on Him with disdain and contempt.

In effect, this is what the people said: "Now who does this fellow think he is? Listen to him, speaking with authority as if he were somebody important! Why he's just a common ordinary man like the rest of us. He grew up here. We know his brothers and sisters. They're no better than the rest of us. How can a common ordinary man from this little town talk like that? Who does he think he is, anyhow?" That's my own wording in modern language, of course—but it's the gist of what the people of Nazareth actually did say.

Even Jesus Christ looked no different—no more important—no more unhuman, or divine, than any of the other men of His time. If He had not given up and divested Himself of the supernatural, universe—creating and ruling POWER which He had shared with the Father from eternity, He could not have been our Saviour—He could not have paid the penalty for YOUR sins in your place. The Pharisees paid Judas 30 pieces of silver to point out to them which one of the men was Jesus. He

*(Please continue on page 4)*

## In This Issue:

What our Readers Say .....	2
Personal from the Editor.....	3
New Threat to U.S. in Latin America .....	5
Were the Ten Commandments Nailed to the Cross?.....	7
A Monster Rears Up.....	9
Are You Sure?.....	11
Should Children Be Seen, and Not Heard?.....	15
The Autobiography of Herbert W. Armstrong.....	17
Radio Log .....	18
Did Jesus Have Brothers and Sisters?.....	21
New Press Prints The Plain Truth....	24
Just How Important Is Water Baptism? .....	27
Short Questions from Our Readers	29
The Real Origin of Communism....	32
The Bible Story .....	33
Missing Link Found?.....	42
Are the Germans Secretly Dealing with Moscow?.....	45

# Personal from the Editor

(Continued from page 3)

looked just like other men. There was one difference, of course—*He never sinned!*—that is, He never broke God's inexorable spiritual law, or the physical laws of health in his body. Yet the reason He did not was that He kept much closer to God His Father than you or I—He spent more time in earnest heart-rending prayer—He never allowed Himself to become interested in the ways of this world or entangled with it or part of it or a participant in its wrong ways. You probably are much closer to this world and its carnal interests than you are to God. Jesus was much closer to God than the world—and in no way a participant in its wrong.

Moses was not perfect. Moses did sin, although he repented afterwards. Elijah had all the common human weaknesses. He got to thinking he was the only one left on earth who was on God's side. But he repented, and surrendered himself to the will of God—he kept God's true word faithfully, and thus became an instrument in God's hands.

Peter denied Christ three times. Peter said, "I go fishing." Peter dissembled and Paul rebuked him. Paul made his mistakes, too.

Did you never read, in I Corinthians 1, how God has not chosen the great and the mighty of the world as His servants and ministers in this time, but the weak and unimportant?

Why do you suppose God chose Moses? Why did He choose David to be King of Israel, instead of his older, more experienced, and probably more capable brothers? David made mistakes. He committed one terrible crime. But he repented bitterly and never did it again. God called David a man after His own heart. WHY?

Do you know where God looks—how God judges us? He looks, first of all, on the heart—the attitude—the right or wrong spirit.

Looking back over the years, I can see by the fruits produced that God did call me, and has used me as an

instrument in our day, and thus God has raised up a work multiplying in scope and power—now world-wide. I have accomplished nothing myself—but God has done a work through a human instrument who has all of the common human faults and weaknesses. WHY? I know why, now at last. Certainly not because I am different or better than any other. Certainly not because of any greatness of intellect or ability. I have known scores and scores of men possessing far greater ability than I. Ever since God struck me down, tore the false "gods" I served and worshipped root and branch out of me and converted me, begetting me as His Son, I have had one constant struggle to overcome. There have been *so many* things to overcome. It has not been easy. It has involved sacrifice and suffering.

But after God did strike me down, humble me, and bring me to Him in real repentance, I did give *myself* to Him without reservation. I did become willing to yield to His authority over my life, putting Him before the approbation or approval of men. And this I can say—that so far as God has given me to understand, I have been faithful and honest to KEEP HIS WORD, and to proclaim it as He has given power without fear—and regardless of the opposition, persecution or criticism of men.

Don't think that the Pharisees were a peculiar species who lived only in the days of Christ's human ministry. The world is filled with their ilk today. When I preach the SAME GOSPEL Jesus did, they become angry just as the Pharisees of the first century did when Jesus preached the same Message. I have not sought to please men, nor do I fear what men can do to me. This much I can say truthfully and sincerely. But I do make mistakes, even if not knowingly or intentionally.

Now let me explain the error in the March number respecting the 5c postage. I knew that this was coming up in Congress before the House of Representatives, in a bill labeled "H.R. 7927." I had read a full page message from its publisher in LIFE magazine in regard to this. Being rushed to get to other duties, I read hurriedly. But this sentence, somehow stuck in my mind: "You will almost surely learn soon, for example,

that your and our cost to mail a first class letter may shortly go up to 5c."

This appeared in the January 26th issue of LIFE. I did, I realize now, hastily take for granted that, since I was then writing for the March issue of *The Plain Truth*, this bill would have passed and the new rate have been in effect. I have preached to others, often, that we ought not to carelessly *assume*, or take for granted, without proof. Yet we all do that. YOU DO IT, TOO! And it appears that in my own personal experience I have not even yet entirely overcome that fault of carelessly taking for granted things which appear to be so.

The publisher of LIFE took advantage of this bill for increased first-class postage to inform his readers of the manner in which a publication, enjoying the second-class postal privilege, does assist the Post Office Department, so that it actually does, as he said, "*pay its own way.*" I thought it was a good idea. I thought that probably hundreds of thousands of *Plain Truth* readers did not realize these things. So I wrote that editorial. In order to avoid copying anything from LIFE, I wrote what I did without having the page from LIFE in front of me, or even looking at it again. Hence it was easy to make the mistake of assuming this Bill had become law.

One thing I did learn from it. *The Plain Truth* numbers among its approximately one million readers many post-office employees. Many of them have written me of this error. Incidentally, I have learned that some postal employees do read this magazine as it goes through their hands, addressed to others. To all you post-office men who read this, let me invite you to send in your name and address for your own subscription—for *your* subscription has already been paid for you, anyway—it costs you nothing more than the stamp or post-card from your own postoffice to request it. And you won't be asked for any money, or contributions, in any way—unless you should voluntarily inform us you *want* to become a co-worker with us. Hundreds of thousands read *The Plain Truth* who have never contributed, nor in any way been asked to.

Of course there were, out of our mil-  
(Please continue on page 23)

# New Threat to U.S. in LATIN AMERICA

*The Kennedy Alliance for Progress program has been dealt a severe blow in recent Argentine elections. You need to know the behind-the-scenes events taking place throughout Latin America—and what Bible Prophecy says is going to happen!*

by Gene H. Hogberg

ON MARCH 18, the followers of ex-dictator Juan Perón scored smashing victories in Argentina's state and federal elections. The United States was shocked.

Polling almost 40 per cent of the popular vote, the Peronistas won the governorships in 10 of the country's 19 states and districts and captured 44 seats in the lower house of Congress. Wiped out was the majority held by President Arturo Frondizi's Intransigent Party.

The election took political thinkers everywhere by surprise, especially President Frondizi, who had made the biggest political gamble of his hectic career—and lost. Attempting to destroy the Perón "myth" once and for all, Frondizi, six weeks before the election, lifted the six-year ban on the Peronistas and allowed them to campaign openly. He assured his party and the skeptical military leaders that, being beaten soundly in a free election, Perón's followers would no longer be a force to contend with.

*The trouble is, it didn't work.* It was Frondizi who was beaten. The military, fearful of a Peronista resurgence, stepped in, nullified the election results and deposed the President. The thin veneer of democracy covering a military state has been retained only by the succession of Senate Leader José Guido to the Presidency.

The election fiasco dealt the much-heralded \$20 billion U.S.-backed "Alliance for Progress" program a severe blow! Argentina, the most wealthy of all Latin American nations, was to have been the very model of a stable democratic government for all the others to follow.



Wide World Photo

Peronist candidate Andres Framini, left, is congratulated by colleagues after his stunning victory in the Buenos Aires gubernatorial race. All Peronista wins were subsequently outlawed, increasing tensions in Argentina.

Now Washington is in a quandary. What to do next?

## Communist Inspired?

Some prematurely jumped to conclusion that the Communists were responsible for the Peronistas' show of strength. But the Communist Party in Argentina has little strength. While it supported Peronista candidates, its 100,000 Communist votes made up *only a fraction* of the total 2,839,000 Peronista vote cast. Outside of Cuba, and a few of the smaller countries, *Communism has little power and even less appeal in the Latin American, Catholic world.* The BIG enemy that is

threatening democracy in the Western Hemisphere is not overtooted Castroism, is not Communism, but, surprisingly, FASCISM!

Even columnist Walter Lippmann sensed this fact when he wrote: "We must not jump to the easy and dangerously misleading conclusion that the revolutionary manifestation in the Argentine is caused by or is run by Castro." Lippmann continued: "Peronism is a good deal older than Castroism, and while it has drawn with it the comparatively small Communist movement, *the ideological source of Peronism is not Communism but FASCISM, and more particularly the Fascism of Mussolini!*"

(*Los Angeles Times*, March 26, 1962).

No one can say that Juan Perón, who once called Mussolini "the greatest man of our century," is a Communist. Asked by reporters at his exile headquarters in Madrid, Spain, whether he would ever seek Russian help, Perón scoffed, "The United States should understand that the Argentines are grown-up people. They don't need nurses or tutors, either American or Russian" (UPI Release, March 23, 1962).

THE ALLIANCE FOR PROGRESS HAS BEEN SET UP TO FIGHT THE WRONG ENEMY! The really big threat is not from the vociferous "left" but from the "right."

### Nazi Underground in Argentina

We need to ask ourselves a few poignant questions. Why was Adolf Eichmann, the Nazi butcher accused of the slaughter of 6,000,000 Jews, captured in *Argentina*? Why have international agents been searching for Nazi strongman Martin Bormann in *Argentina* and *Brazil*? Why are there enough escaped Nazi war criminals in *Argentina* alone to comprise "an elite cadre big enough for another Nazi-Fascist regime complete with chiefs of state, cabinet ministers and military men?" (UPI Release, November 9, 1960). And what about all those persistent rumors that even Hitler himself found refuge in the Argentine?

Yes, why Latin America? Because those countries are being used as a major launching pad for the revival of world-wide Fascism and Nazism.

Notice this startling quote translated from a top Brazilian newspaper of January 23, 1962:

"Mr. Gunther Theodor . . . told the *Correio da Manhã* [the Brazilian newspaper] that he is the agent of an international organization that has for several years been trying to capture the Nazi leader, Martin Bormann, a confidant of Hitler during the Second World War . . . He raised doubts as to the death of Hitler and said that 'NEO-NAZISM IS SIMPLY BOILING OVER IN SOUTH AMERICA, operating under the shield of the Communists.' He said . . . in South America alone there exist thousands of 'Fuehrer' adherents, that would like to relive what they

consider the splendor of 'Mein Kampf.'"

Even in the American *Dallas Morning News*, of October 30, 1960, appeared this stunning admission:

"A 'growing international network of Nazism' was charged in a report made public Saturday at a meeting of the executive board of the American Jewish Committee in Dallas. The report, compiled from material issued by the committee's officers at various points around the globe, said these Nazi activities 'are rooted in anti-democratic, anti-Semitic and totalitarian philosophies.' According to the report, *Germany, and ESPECIALLY THE LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES, were key links in the neo-Nazi chain.* It charges that Hungarian, Ukrainian and Croatian Fascist groups are co-operating with Latin American organizations . . ."

### Europe Taking Over!

Not only politically, but economically, the vast Latin American market, upon which the United States depends for much of its sales, is being *systematically undermined!* While long-established American firms are facing the increasing threat of expropriation, European interests, *especially German,*

are capturing lush Latin markets.

Germany's economic conquest of South America has been in the planning for decades. Nazi agents, many of them disguised as "legitimate" businessmen, were rampant throughout South America even before World War II. Here is what one American observer who had an inside knowledge of German political plotting said well *before* the outbreak of the Second World War:

"The Germans have a clear plan of what they intend to do in case of victory . . . I have heard it from a sufficient number of important Germans to credit its authenticity. GERMANY'S PLAN IS TO MAKE A CUSTOMS UNION OF EUROPE. [Now finally, after her humiliating defeat in World War II, *this plan IS in effect* and is the Common Market today!]

"In Western Europe alone . . . there will be an economic unity of 400 million persons, skilled, civilized white men with a high standard of living." The Common Market nations today, under German leadership, are experiencing a rate of growth unparalleled in history. But the report continues:

(Please continue on page 13)

Ex-Argentine President Arturo Frondizi, second from left, shown in happier moments with United States' President Kennedy and Secretary of State Dean Rusk (far left). Frondizi's regime was toppled this March by Argentine military leaders who feared he couldn't cope with rising Peronista power.

Wide World Photo



# Were the TEN COMMANDMENTS *nailed to the Cross?*

*Are Christians saved by faith without obedience to God's law? Does grace do away with the law? Are the Ten Commandments the "law of Moses"? Here's the truth about this pivotal question!*

by Herbert W. Armstrong

**J**OHAN DOE is a Canadian subject. He was born, let us say, in Canada, and has resided since boyhood in Vancouver, B.C.

But now, because of a recent marriage to an American, John Doe wishes to become a citizen of the United States. He has been impressed with the country. Mr. Doe, we will say, endeavors to attain this new citizenship by studying the laws of our country, and rigidly setting himself to obey them.

Our point is this: Can John Doe, *merely by observing the LAWS of our land*, become a citizen here? The question seems foolish. The answer is obvious. He cannot.

But it illustrates the point. A man does not become an accepted citizen in Christ's Kingdom merely because that man lives *within the law* and rigidly observes it.

## Does Grace Abolish the LAW?

The Law, in other words, is not the means through which sinners of the worldly kingdom of Satan become converted into citizens of the spiritual Kingdom of Christ.

If John Doe is to become a United States citizen, he must undergo a certain prescribed process. This will include laying aside his allegiance to Canada, pledging his allegiance to the government of the United States, accepting ours as HIS government, and taking out certain papers.

And that is the manner in which a man becomes a Christian. *Before* he becomes a Christian, he is a subject of a different kingdom—the kingdom of this world—ruled over by Satan, who is described in the Scriptures as "Prince of

this world." If a man, born of the flesh and a part of this world and of Satan's rule, desires to attach himself to Christ's Kingdom—the kingdom of the spirit, and of the world to come—he must undergo a certain prescribed process. We call that process CONVERSION.

It includes acknowledgment, and repentance of sins, acceptance of Jesus Christ as his new ruler, or Savior, renouncing his allegiance to Satan's kingdom and pledging allegiance to Christ's Kingdom. Since he was begotten and born of the flesh and of this world, he is thus now "begotten again" of the spirit and of the world to come—to be born again at the resurrection.

Now let us suppose John Doe has moved down to California, fulfilled the required process, and has become a citizen of the United States. Does that mean that Mr. Doe is exempt from obeying the laws of our land, merely because he has become a citizen?

Not at all! If the man is going to live here, be one of us, and enjoy the BENEFITS of United States Citizenship, *he must obey our laws!* And unless he does, he will find himself before a judge, who will pronounce his fate!

## Conversion Means Obedience

When a man becomes a Christian, he receives great BENEFITS thereby, including everlasting life in the world to come. And now the question is, can a man *remain* a Christian, and receive the blessings and benefits of Christian citizenship, *while he disobeys the laws of the Kingdom?*

Just a moment, some will say. Christ's Kingdom has no laws. Christ abolished the law, nailing it to the cross. We are

now "under grace," and not "under the law." But let's examine that point very carefully.

Did you ever hear of a government being run without laws? Does it sound reasonable that the Government Christ came to set up would be run in hit-and-miss fashion, **WITHOUT ANY RULES OR LAWS?**

The Bible emphatically tells us that Christ's Kingdom has its laws, just as every civil government has its laws. It is time we understood what was nailed to the Cross, and what is still binding upon us today.

## God's Basic LAW

The laws of Christ's Kingdom are Ten simple, fundamental, universal Commandments, written by the very finger of God upon tablets of stone! And what a contrast the divinely written code is from our woefully inefficient man-made codes! A hopeless mess indeed has man made of his law-making efforts. Contrast it to God's ability as a law-giver. In Ten brief Commandments, so simple, so direct, that a small child can understand and memorize the whole code, God gave all mankind a COMPLETE law which covers the whole duty of man toward, first, his Creator, and secondly, his fellow-man. So fundamental and universal—God's laws apply alike to the most sophisticated metropolitan civilization, and the most wild and barbarous jungle civilization.

They are, by their very nature, eternal, and never could become obsolete or out-of-date. **NO MAN COULD WRITE SUCH A LAW.** This Law is **NOT** the law of Moses, but the Law of God.

Most assuredly, as we shall see pres-

ently from the Scriptures, Christ's Kingdom has its laws. And unless Christians, the begotten citizens of His Kingdom, are willing to obey the laws of the Kingdom they have professed to enter, they, like our friend John Doe, will find themselves before the Judge, who, at the Judgment Day, will pronounce their fate! Remember, then:

The Law is not in any sense the means through which a man obtains salvation. *We do not obtain salvation through the law—we observe the law through the process of conversion.* It is failure to understand this important distinction which is the cause of much misunderstanding upon the whole question.

The facts are these: Both those who maintain the Ten Commandments, God's Law, are immutable and still binding upon Christians today, and those who assert this Law was nailed to the Cross, can quote much Scripture in an effort to prove their different contentions. Our question now is, *why this apparent contradiction in the Bible?*

The Scriptures do not contradict in any instance. The need of the Mosaic law ceased at the cross! Faith, the atonement, the gift of the Holy Spirit, DID take the place of, and therefore abolish, the old Mosaic law. That law was a law of physical ordinances, ceremonies, and sacrifices which were "added" because of sin and as a reminder of sin (Gal. 3:19). But the Ten Commandments are an entirely different, separate, and distinct Law. The Commandments are spiritual principles which define sin. Moses' laws were sacrificial and ceremonial.

Both laws were given by God, but they were given in entirely different manners, and for entirely different purposes. The Ten Commandments were God's basic spiritual law *from the beginning*. It was sin to transgress its points from Adam to Moses. Death is the penalty of sin and "death reigned from Adam to Moses" (Rom. 5:14 and 6:23). The law of Moses was not added until the days of Moses. In his day the Ten Commandments were merely repeated because the children of Israel had forgotten God's spiritual law—just as the world today has forgotten it.

Let us now examine briefly the history of the Law and of the real meaning of

salvation. Let us stand off and visualize the subject from a distance, in its entirety, rather than having our viewpoint muddled by a too close familiarity with any one point or passage.

### Salvation NOT Offered Under Old Covenant

It may come as a surprise to you, but spiritual and eternal salvation was never offered under the Old Covenant to the nation Israel as a whole. The only promises were material and fleshly—for the present age. They were promised national dominance, power, and wealth. But they were not promised eternal life.

The law of physical works—the law of Moses—was not given as a means of salvation, BUT AS A REMINDER THAT THEY NEEDED SALVATION. There has been one and only one means of salvation—through faith. No one has ever been or can ever be saved through the works or rituals and ceremonies of the law.

During Old Testament times only the prophets and a faithful few obtained a promise of eternal salvation. They looked forward to the shed blood of Christ, just as we look back to it.

But the people as a nation were given the rituals of Moses to begin to develop within them the habit of obedience to God's spiritual law — the Ten Commandments. The shedding of the blood of a lamb was a reminder that Christ would come to shed His blood to pay for our sins. Notice Hebrews 10:1-4:

"For the law"—of Moses—"can never with those sacrifices which they offered year by year continually, make the comers thereunto perfect. For then would

ДА, ТАКЖЕ НА РУССКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ!

Можно получить список нашей литературы на русском языке. Каждая брошюра высылается в одном экземпляре на особу, бесплатно, лицам, которые обращаются за ними для самих себя.

Пишите по адресу  
THE WORLD TOMORROW  
Russian Department  
Box 111  
Pasadena, California, U. S. A.

they not have ceased to be offered? . . . But in those *sacrifices* there is a *remembrance* again made of sins each year. FOR IT IS NOT POSSIBLE THAT THE BLOOD OF BULLS AND GOATS SHOULD TAKE AWAY SINS."

How plain! Salvation was not through the works of the law. Paul's whole argument throughout the New Testament was against the teaching of Judaism that salvation could be gained without faith in Christ, but through the works—the hard physical labor of offering sacrifices—of the law of Moses. The Ten Commandments never contained ordinances prescribing sacrifices. They are an entirely separate and distinct law.

The Mosaic ordinances were, in other words, substitutes and shadows for the reality of Christ's atonement and the Holy Spirit. They were wholly subordinate to the spiritual law of God. Paul warned the Galatians that whoever sought to be justified by the works of the law — whoever sought salvation through animal sacrifices—was rejecting the sacrifice of Christ.

It is important that we understand here that *Judaism* is not the teaching of the Old Testament but a PERVERSION of it. Judaism is a mixture of the ordinances of the Old Testament with the false doctrines of the Jewish elders. While in Persian and Greek captivity, the Jews were influenced by the pagan idea that salvation could be gained through penance—through giving a physical sacrifice to pay for sins, through enduring the hard physical labor of offering animal sacrifices. The pagans thought that God would be pleased by human suffering—that salvation came through denying ourselves the right and honorable pleasures of life. The Jews took over this idea and applied it to the sacrifices which Moses had commanded merely as a reminder of sin.

### The Ten Commandments Define RIGHT from WRONG

Let us, first, clearly understand just what the Law of God is, and what it means. No one will say that Christians today are to continue in sin. But how can sin be avoided, unless defined? WHAT IS SIN? John tells us—and John wrote this definition of sin about A.D.

(Please continue on page 26)

# A MONSTER Rears Up

Prophecy **RACES ON!** Still our people plunge ahead—heedless of the **HUGE POLITICAL MONSTER** rearing its ugly head, to **STRIKE** when least expected! It's time to be **SHOCKED** into **ALERTNESS** to the meaning of these times.

by Garner Ted Armstrong

**W**ITH all eyes on COMMUNISM, and its threat to the peace of the world, a little-recognized new threat is rising up in Western Europe!

For over *twenty-eight years*, this magazine, and the **WORLD TOMORROW** program have been shouting to the people of the United States, and now to those in Britain, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and *around the world* the frightening **WARNING** of what *your Bible* prophesies is immediately ahead for our peoples!

## It's Too Fantastic!

"But *surely* this couldn't happen," people have scoffed. It sounds so **INCREDIBLE**, so **IMPOSSIBLE** that it just "COULDN'T" happen—say some. But **IT IS HAPPENING NOW**, BEFORE YOUR **VERY EYES!** Europe is uniting—and for a very special prophesied purpose.

Even as the Apostle Peter prophesied, "Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days [and we're living in those times!] **SCOFFERS**, walking after their own lusts, and saying, 'Where is the promise of His coming?'" (II Pet. 3:3-4.)

They would argue that "everything is going along about like always" reveals Peter in the succeeding verses. But he warned that these are **WILLINGLY IGNORANT** of the real events that mark the imminency of the *end of this age!*

But **WHAT** is happening?

Several years ago, when Mr. Roderick C. Meredith, Senior Editor of **The PLAIN TRUTH**, had given a sermon on this Biblically prophesied "**BEAST**" emerging today, a woman scoffed. "That's **NUTS!**" she accused. "I've **BEEN** to Germany—I've **SEEN** that country—and they will **NEVER** rise again!" To her, that was ample proof.

Yes, to some people it was simply too fantastic to believe! **BUT IT IS HAP-**

**PENING BEFORE YOUR VERY EYES TODAY! CAN YOU BEGIN TO BELIEVE NOW THAT GOD MEANS WHAT HE SAYS?**

## Daniel Foretold It

Hundreds of years ago, God inspired the prophet Daniel to foretell it. Daniel didn't know what he wrote. He *wanted* to know. He asked, "O my Lord, what shall be the **END** of these things?" (Dan. 12:8.) But he was told to *go his way*—and not to *concern* himself with the answer, because it was to be *hidden* from the minds of men until this time, **NOW!** (Daniel 12:4, 9-10.)

Daniel had been called in before king Nebuchadnezzar. The king had wanted a *dream* explained to him. He had commanded others to reveal, not only the *meaning* of the dream, but the dream itself! Naturally, this was humanly *impossible!*

But God intervened. God *gave* Daniel the dream *and* the meaning of it in a night vision. So when Daniel went before the king to *explain* the dream, he revealed that the dream was **NOT** a thing of passing interest—and was not even a prophecy just for the immediate lifetime of king Nebuchadnezzar. Rather, Daniel said, "There is a God in heaven that revealeth secrets, and *maketh known* to the king Nebuchadnezzar **WHAT SHALL BE IN THE LATTER DAYS**" (Daniel 2:28).

Notice it! The vision was concerned with things to occur in the **LATTER DAYS!**

All Bible commentaries know Daniel was given a vision of the four successive world-ruling Gentile kingdoms of Babylon, Persia, Greco-Macedonia and Rome. But notice **HOW LONG THE FINAL KINGDOM WAS TO LAST!**

"Thou sawest **TILL** a stone was cut out without hands, which smote the image [the huge statue representing the kingdoms] **UPON HIS FEET . . .** and the stone that smote the image be-

came a *great mountain* and filled the *whole earth*" (Dan. 2:34-35).

He saw **UNTIL** the second coming of Christ to **RULE** the earth with a rod of iron, and to forcibly **CRUSH** the final remnants of this same system—which this and other prophecies clearly prove is to be extant at the time of Christ's return! (Rev. 2:26-27, Dan. 7:21-22.)

## WHAT Will Christ Destroy?

In the dream was the great image standing in the plain, towering up into the skies. The image represented the four world-ruling Gentile Kingdoms, terminating in **ROME!** But the **STONE**, clearly representing Christ, **STRUCK** the image on the feet! The feet have **TEN TOES!**

Notice quickly the explanation of the toes both in Daniel and the Revelation. God said, "And as the **TOES** of the feet [there are **TEN** of them] were part of iron and part of clay, so the kingdom [which they, collectively, *represent*] shall be partly strong and partly broken [**WEAK**—margin]. And whereas thou sawest iron mixed with miry clay, they shall mingle themselves with the seed of men, but they shall not cleave one to another, even as iron is not mixed with clay" (Dan. 2:42-43).

The ten toes **MINGLE** themselves, but only for a *short time*—they don't **STAY** together very long. Notice! It is precisely **DURING** the time these ten nations, represented by the toes of the image, are enjoying their brief union together that Jesus Christ of Nazareth is to **RETURN TO THIS EARTH!**

"And **IN THE DAYS OF THESE KINGS** [the **KINGS REPRESENTED BY THE TOES**] shall the God of heaven set up a kingdom, which shall *never* be destroyed, and the kingdom shall not be left to other people, but it shall *break in pieces* and **CONSUME** all these kingdoms, and it shall stand forever!" (Dan. 2:44.)

Some have falsely reasoned this prophecy was fulfilled in history at the supposed "Christianizing" of the heathen Roman Empire. Others supposed that the "Kingdom of God is set up in the hearts of men" thus fulfilling this prophecy. Still others assume that when Jesus built His Church, this prophecy was fulfilled.

What abysmal error! God plainly says His Kingdom, the ruling government of Jesus Christ, is going to "break in pieces" and CONSUME all these kingdoms!

Let's understand! Your Bible plainly says Jesus Christ is going to arrive on this earth at a time when there will be ten nations united together, comprising an end-time resurrection of this same ancient system!

We are living in the times of the resurrection of that Beast!

### United States of Europe

For years, we have shouted over The WORLD TOMORROW broadcast, and printed in the pages of The PLAIN TRUTH magazine, that the nations in Europe were going to UNITE! Space does not permit a complete account of all these dozens of articles over a period of years!

And, even as my father, Mr. Herbert W. Armstrong, was saying while American and British bombers were wrecking all the big cities in Germany, GERMANY HAS RISEN AGAIN!

Today, "Euromart," a new name in Western news, looms on the horizon. Four out of five Americans do not even know the meaning of "Euromart," or what part it plays in international economy.

Beginning as a comparatively "innocent" union for purely economic considerations, the combine of "the Inner Six" has gradually been forged more firmly together, all the while deepening the rift between the Anglican British and the Lutheran Scandinavians, and has surged ahead in remarkable industrial and economic comeback until today it comprises truly the strongest industrial and trading combine on the face of this earth!

Others are clamoring for entrance! Rumors are now flying fast and furious—ly that Spain and Portugal may be plan-

ning to unite! This new united nation will want entrance (Spain has already applied) into Euromart! Other nations want in. Greece has already been cooperating, and is considered a nominal member. As we have been shouting for years the United States of Europe is just ahead!

Literally dozens of news releases have emphasized in recent years and months that the ultimate aims of the European Federation are political, not simply economic. As the Christian Science Monitor said in 1960: "Professor Walter Hallstein [the president of the European Economic Community] . . . and his colleagues are not building a comfortable trading area. They are building a NEW EMPIRE, a new POLITICAL POWER, a new federation, a new political force big enough to challenge the United States and Canada . . ." (emphasis mine).

One writer editorialized: "Fascism in Europe is about to be reborn in respectable business attire."

### A Spiritual Union!

Notice another amazing prophecy in the book of Revelation, uncovering the next prophesied event! Here, John saw in vision a great fallen woman. She is clearly described. It is in chapter 17:1-2, "Come hither; I will shew unto thee the judgment of the great whore that sitteth upon many waters: with whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication, and the inhabitants of the earth have been made drunk with the wine of her fornication." John saw, in vision, a great woman—symbol of a religious system—who had been deluding and deceiving the inhabitants of the earth with her "wine" or her false doctrines! Meanwhile, she has also been delving into politics, which God calls spiritual fornication! But this isn't all.

"So he carried me away in the spirit into the wilderness: and I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet colored beast, full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads and TEN HORNS" (Rev. 17:3).

Here is a great fallen woman pictured as riding over, guiding and controlling this great BEAST! Read the entire 17th chapter of the book of Revelation! Notice the meaning of the ten horns! "And the TEN HORNS which thou

sawest ARE TEN KINGS, which have received no kingdom as yet; but receive power as kings one hour with the beast. These have one mind, and shall give their power and strength unto the beast" (Rev. 17:12-13).

Just exactly as God described through Daniel, here are TEN KINGS, existing at the end time just prior to the return of Jesus Christ—a union of ten nations which will exist together in real harmony and unity only a very short time (described as "one hour" in this prophecy) and will actually fight against Jesus Christ at His second coming!

Read it! "THESE [ten kings] shall make war with the Lamb, and the Lamb shall overcome them, for he is Lord of lords, and King of kings; and they that are with Him are called, and chosen, and faithful" (Rev. 17:14).

HOW PLAIN! Regardless as to any technical understanding of the Bible prophecy, regardless as to whether you have the keys to the identity of the great nations, regardless as to whether you understand Biblical chronology—you can plainly see, and cannot escape the fact that your Bible says there will be a UNION of ten nations, ruled over by a great fallen woman who has made those nations spiritually drunk at the very time of the second coming of Jesus Christ!

### Prophecy Races On!

It is time we begin to wake up, and come to our senses. We are living in the most dangerous times in the history of this world!

The emergence of the greatest, most powerful, economic, political, and RELIGIOUS union the world has ever seen is a constant daily process!

And now—from England's leading weekly news magazine, March 24, 1962, comes one of the most shocking, one of the most startling news releases of recent times!

This top news source reported, concerning the emergence of the powerful force shaping up in Central Europe, "Not since the end of the Holy Roman Empire has the Holy See been offered a Catholic rallying point like the Common Market. If the 'pact of Rome,' which created the Common Market,

(Continued on page 23)

# ARE YOU SURE?

WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THE THINGS YOU DO? What is the SOURCE of your opinions? How did you come to your convictions and beliefs? This straight-from-the-shoulder answer will surprise you!

by Garner Ted Armstrong

ASIA for the Asiatics!" screamed millions of Japanese during World War II. "Deutschland über alles!" bellowed hoarse-throated Germans. "Viva il Duce!" yelled the Italians!

Why?

Where did they get those ideas, those clichés, those fanatical beliefs that led to the justification of the overrunning of helpless nations, the slaughtering of millions, the "holy war" that bled the earth?

During World War II, Americans and Britons found it difficult to understand the fanatical beliefs of their enemies! How could they have come to believe such monstrous rot? HOW could their leaders have possibly so swayed them? Was it mass hypnotism, as some said—or just what?

Today, world Communism spreads its slimy red tentacles over more and more nations, greedily devouring the teeming masses of Asia, establishing footholds in the western hemisphere, threatening our very existence. And—strange as it seems—its millions of followers seem to really BELIEVE their leaders!

But HOW COULD THEY? How can a person come to actually believe what appears to us, the free people of the world, as such OBVIOUS error?

It's EASY to be Deceived!

Think for a moment! You, yes you, regardless of your religious, racial or political viewpoints—firmly believe great groups to be totally deceived! Certainly you do! Whether you feel it is only some of the minority groups, or whole sweeping majorities—you nevertheless DO BELIEVE that multiple thousands, even millions of people are deceived!

And you're right!

It's EASY to be deceived! Your Bible prophesied you would be living in the time when ALL NATIONS would be deceived—especially about God's TRUTH!

Today, we see nothing but confusion, difference of opinion, conflicting ideologies, radically varying political parties, religious bias and close-minded opinionated bigots!

WHY? Why should there be such confusion everywhere? Your Creator answers it is because *this very society* is BABYLON (CONFUSION) THE GREAT! It's organized, to be sure—but it's ORGANIZED CONFUSION!

Notice! "But evil men and seducers shall wax worse and worse, *deceiving*, and being *deceived*" (II Tim. 3:13). God foretold this time, when Satan, the arch-deceiver, would have the *whole world* in gross deception (Rev. 12:9). Satan is called the *god* of this world—the originator of its beliefs and opinions, the author of the lies it believes! (II Cor. 4:4 with John 8:44).

But What About You?

Think again! By the *very reason* of acknowledging there are HUGE GROUPS of peoples living their lives, going about their daily routines, eating, sleeping, working, playing, reading, believing, who are really living under DELUSION, FALSEHOOD and DECEPTION—you must then honestly admit that it is just POSSIBLE that you, too, could have been deceived about *some things*!

How can you know? Who is right? What is the *source* of truth so you can truly KNOW one way or the other?

If you have really *proved* the existence of your God, and that the Bible is His inspired, personal *word* to you, then you will recognize that the *real source* of ETERNAL TRUTH, and of ABSOLUTE knowledge is the BIBLE!

Everyone has certain *beliefs*. But *where* did they come from? How did you get YOUR beliefs?

In our western "christian" society, everyone has an opinion *about religion*! Whether rank atheist, uncertain agnostic, or casual layman lazily choosing the "church of his choice"—each has definite

convictions about religion, and about the Bible!

Undoubtedly, and *regardless* of your *own* convictions, you are in total disagreement with *dozens* and *dozens* of religious groups—having multiple *thousands* of adherents! You think they're DEAD WRONG!

But did it ever enter your mind that *they* are just as sure YOU are wrong?

What a spectacle! Over FOUR HUNDRED differing, conflicting "opinions" and "persuasions" about Christianity and the Bible—each straining off in its own direction, each disagreeing either partly or totally with ALL the others—and yet each claiming to get its beliefs and teachings out of the same book!

So, no matter your background, there are *huge groups* on this earth right now that believe firmly YOU are DEAD WRONG in your religious beliefs!

What Is the Source of Your Beliefs?

It will really *shock* you to answer that question!

Most of you have *supposed* your religious convictions and opinions are RIGHT! You would *certainly* not cling to a doctrine or a belief you thought to be really WRONG, would you? And yet, that opinion, that doctrine or belief is in TOTAL CONTRADICTION to the beliefs of some others—and *they* think YOU are just as wrong as you might think THEY are wrong!

WHO IS RIGHT?

To find the answer, you must go to the real *source* of these myriad opinions and beliefs. Where did you get them? Where did they come from?

"Why, MY beliefs came out of the Bible!" you might answer. But did they, REALLY? Do you mean to say you actually *studied* the Bible, by the weeks, months and YEARS—oftentimes *on your knees*, comparing, searching, *praying* for guidance, and then LIVING by what you found there? Do you mean you really KNOW book after book in the Bible?—

books like Daniel, Ezekiel, Deuteronomy, Isaiah, Zechariah, Romans, Galatians, Jude and the Book of Revelation? Can you think of literally DOZENS of plain scriptures and even repeat with near accuracy the meaning of *whole chapters* and *sections* from many of these books?

Do you mean you can even NAME all of the books of the Bible in order, that you can give TWENTY SCRIPTURES proving some of the points you believe, that you really *know how* to PROVE your beliefs by the Bible?

"Well—ll . . ." the average person would answer, "NO!" Most of you simply HAVE NOT studied the Bible very much. Many thousands are now *beginning* to find what an interesting, scintillating, gripping study it *can be*, however, by taking the Ambassador College Bible Correspondence Course.

No—when you face it squarely, your former beliefs *did not* come OUT OF THE BIBLE, but from *other people!* You have always just "supposed" certain things to be true. You have always just "assumed" certain doctrines to be right, and have just sort of "always thought" this or that. But you have been taking much for GRANTED!

The real *source* of the beliefs of most people is NOT the Bible, as they have supposed, but merely the *other people* by whom they have been influenced!

### What ARE You?

Can you mentally pause, and step aside, and watch *yourself* walk by?

What ARE you, anyway? Perhaps a complete analysis would take one or several books for each of us—but it can actually be put quite simply!

Notice! "Verily every man at his *best* state is altogether vanity!" (Ps. 39:5) And, "There is a way that seemeth *right* to a man, but the end thereof are the ways of death" (Prov. 14:12). And finally, "Because the carnal mind is enmity against God, for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be!" (Rom. 8:7).

Unless or until we are really *changed*, we are CARNAL, VAIN, and we THINK OUR OWN WAY IS RIGHT!

But what *are* you? You are the physical product of your parents. You are the mental result of your parents, teachers and other influential personali-

ties with whom you've come in contact. You are, in short, a PRODUCT of your heredity and environment!

You can, even now, remember certain BOOKS which made really *lasting impressions* on you. You can remember certain *people* you've met, or a grade or junior high school teacher who made *deep impressions* on you. You remember certain *movies* you saw, certain *experiences* you had—which became a literal *part* of you later.

Perhaps you can remember certain things your parents, your grandparents, your uncles and aunts, or neighbors and friends said that really "stuck" in your memory! They became a PART of you!

Probably you remember the experiences on certain *trips* you've taken, and the things you saw. You remember certain DEATHS of loved ones, and the sermons you heard about where they were supposed to be.

You probably remember a *fight* you had as a child—a present you got—a severe spanking—the time you ran away—the times you were sick!

Yes, you can easily *remember* these and other things which MADE YOU WHAT YOU ARE!

You ARE, then, the sum total of the teachings, experiences, impressions and observations of your past years!

You BELIEVE the things you do because you have been TAUGHT to believe them, or else you just "always" sort of "believed" them in a vague way! You believed, in other words, whatever your parents, teachers, friends and neighbors TOLD you to believe!

*But did it ever occur to you they could have been WRONG?*

### Some of Your WRONG Beliefs

What DO you really believe about certain things? Did you *believe* it during World War II when you were probably told the word for "love" was not in the Japanese language? Did you really *believe* the Communists' promises of a cease-fire in Korea? Have you really *believed* Castro was a great liberator? Probably you *did* believe these things—once. But you were DEAD WRONG—*weren't* you?

It's really *difficult* to admit you were WRONG about something. It is SO difficult that most people *simply never* do! Have you ever known someone who was

just DEAD WRONG about certain actions or beliefs? Sure you have! But did that person immediately BELIEVE it when you *told* him how *wrong* he was? OF COURSE not! Does that illustrate the point?

What if you heard your doorbell ring. You answered. It was a man, with a black attaché case, and a rather businesslike expression on his face. He introduced himself as a member of a special detective agency, hired to locate missing heirs! You were astounded—shocked! He inferred YOU were a missing heir!

So you invited him in. Then—in an almost *impossible*-sounding story, he began unfolding the details of how his agency had carefully searched through old records, gotten a confession out of an elderly retired nurse, proved the whole case by thorough questioning of the *other* "heir"—and *finally found that you had been switched in the hospital!* YOU are not really YOU, *after all*—BUT SOMEBODY ELSE!

Your name is not *really* your name—your FAMILY not YOUR family, your *whole life* has been with a totally *different* family than it WOULD have been! Your name is NOT what you thought—but totally different!

You would find this a *little hard* to believe, wouldn't you?

Yes, that would be one of the most *shocking, unbelievable* things that could ever happen to anyone.

Listen! You will find it is JUST as hard to believe that you have been TOTALLY CONFUSED, MIXED-UP, and DECEIVED ALL YOUR LIFE ABOUT THE BIG, IMPORTANT, MAJOR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS YOU'VE HELD DEAR!

And yet—IT IS SHOCKINGLY TRUE!

What are some *more* of your WRONG beliefs? You've probably thought you have a SOUL, that sinners go to an ever burning HELL, while "saints" go to heaven! You've probably thought *God* is a TRINITY, that Christ died of a broken heart, that "Christmas" celebrates His birthday, and Easter His resurrection. You've probably thought Adam FELL, and that MOSES gave the people the law. You've probably thought that each person should worship God as HE SEES FIT!

Well, in THESE, and PROBABLY A  
(Please continue on page 22)

# New Threat to U.S. in LATIN AMERICA

(Continued from page 6)

"South America will be conquered by business agents, not by guns. The plantation owners will be asked by the Germans whether they want to send their meat, cotton and raw materials to Germany in exchange for machinery, industrial material, automobiles, etc., or whether they want to be boycotted . . . as the chief market of South America is Europe . . ." (*Germany Plots With the Kremlin*, by T. H. Tetens, pages 92, 93).

The South Americans know all too well that the one market they cannot afford to be kept out of is an expanding Europe!

Let's see how this economic subversion—this cloak-and-dagger struggle between Europe and the English-speaking world—is taking place.

"Jutting out from one of those steep ridges of jungle-covered rock that come right into the heart of Rio, the West Germans have built their new chancellery. A superb building . . . it is a symbol of Germany's position in the largest nation of [that] part of the world . . . Some suggest that they will one day displace the Americans as chief

suppliers of capital to Brazil and Latin America as a whole . . . One-quarter of their overseas investments are in Latin America, and THEY ARE PUTTING MORE MONEY INTO BRAZIL THAN INTO ANY OTHER COUNTRY IN THE WORLD" (*London Daily Telegraph*, February 21, 1961).

Here is how this economic trade war is developing right on our own borders.

From Mexico City comes an Associated press report that "Mexico is voting 'no' on American automobiles. With the help of government rules, regulations and quotas, *European compacts are taking over a market once dominated by U.S. cars* . . . Mexico was once the United States' second best foreign customer for exported automobiles. It bought around 46,000 U.S. cars a year compared with less than 3,000 European makes. *Now the situation is changing, and problems are arising*" (AP Release, January 31, 1962).

Nor is this all.

### What U.S. Stands to Lose

The whole, vast \$10 billion U.S.



Ex-President Arturo Frondizi, of Argentina.


investment in Latin America, together with the enormous \$8 billion annual trade exchange is in SERIOUS DANGER: The ENORMITY of our stake in Latin American trade is little realized by the public.

The loss of Latin America would DOOM THE UNITED STATES TO INDUSTRIAL STARVATION. We could survive the loss of Laos, of Berlin, and all the other hot spots in the cold war. **BUT WE CANNOT SURVIVE THE LOSS OF LATIN AMERICA.** We could be starved to death industrially.

### Why Anti-U.S. Feelings Run Deep!

America's faltering position is accompanied by an unprecedented rise in anti-U.S. resentment, much of it fostered by Fascist propagandists. It is not just reflected in an occasional outburst against a visiting American official by a wild-eyed mob. *It is a pervading attitude throughout whole populations.* Said an American college graduate furthering his studies at the University of Buenos Aires, "One of the first lessons you learn as an American student in Argentina is that **THE UNITED STATES IS AMONG THE NATIONS MOST THOROUGHLY DISLIKED by your classmates.** It's disillusioning, but at least you know where you stand."

A shocking statement! During the Second World War Latin Americans had tremendous respect for the United States. They had grown up with Roose-



Former Argentine strongman Juan Peron seated at his typewriter at Torremolinos, Spain. Exiled dictator, vowing his eventual return, engineered, from exile, upsets in recent Argentine elections.

Wide World Photos



A closeup study of Brazil's Joao Goulart. Brazil is in political turmoil with rightists and leftists attempting to dominate government. Wide World Photos

velt's "good neighbor" policy. When the U.S. went to war, they followed suit. TODAY IT IS JUST THE REVERSE!

Why? Let a Brazilian army colonel answer:

"In 1945 our [Brazil's] economy was in a critical state, but the U.S. did nothing to help. It spent billions of dollars in Europe, because it was afraid of Communism there . . . But now when the third World War looks closer, and the U.S. knows it must have allies, it turns to us and offers all kinds of money . . . *THE ALLIANCE FOR PROGRESS IS TOO LATE!*" (*Los Angeles Times*, March 13, 1962).

Failure of the United States to eliminate Communism on Cuban soil only 90 miles from the U.S. shore has since shaken the last ounce of respect and confidence in the U.S.

### America's Folly Prophesied in Your Bible

America's mounting national sins are beginning to exact their toll! The naïve strategy of trying to buy allies—translated "lovers" in the old King James English—is backfiring. Your Bible prophesied sudden retribution on a heedless America if she tried to call upon pagan, Gentile countries to defend her instead of turning in humility and trust to the all-powerful God who makes and unmakes nations.

A vivid description of the times ahead of us is found in the Book of Lamentations. This little-understood

book is a message for today. What anciently happened in Jeremiah's day was only a type of the final captivity and punishment to come on our own people (Lam. 4:22). This is a message for the peoples of the United States, Australia, Great Britain and the democracies of Northwest Europe. (If you have not yet read the proof of this, write for Mr. Armstrong's free booklet "The United States and the British Commonwealth in Prophecy.")

The Book of Lamentations pictures a soon-coming time of great national distress upon our people. "Among all *her lovers*"—our allies: Germany, Italy, the Latin American nations that she is trying to woo—"she hath *none to comfort her*: all her *friends*"—not the *obvious* enemy of Communism, but these same allies or friends—"have dealt treacherously with her, they are become her enemies" (Lam. 1:2).

*Here is prophesied the biggest international double-cross in history!* What could more aptly describe conditions in Europe and Latin America today, where "friendly" nations are becoming more bitter with each dollar they receive.

### Time Is Short!

This prophesied time is very near. Things are moving *fast* south of the

border. Agitation by Panama for control of the strategic Panama Canal is getting louder by the month. No amount of "blood money" will assuage Panama's outrageous demands.

In January of this year, seven Latin states—Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay joined together in a *new Common Market*. Tariff walls on a wide variety of goods *have already tumbled 27 per cent*. Can you see what the emergence of a unified, anti-American, European-oriented bloc means? Just this—*the United States is going to be left out in the cold as two GIGANTIC TRADE BLOCS, EUROPE and LATIN AMERICA mesh together* and begin calling the shots in world commerce. The United States is going to be literally *besieged*—*ECONOMICALLY*—frozen out of world trade! (Deut. 28:52.)

Germany's plans in South America were temporarily halted by her defeat in World War II. Fascist hegemony was sidetracked by Perón's ouster in 1955. Almighty God has been interrupting this march of Fascism long enough to give this work—*THE WORLD TOMORROW*—time enough to proclaim the Gospel of the coming Kingdom of God—in Spanish and Portuguese to Latin America—and then will occur  
(Please continue on page 47)

An informal discussion following one of the sessions of the Inter-American Economic and Social Conference at Punta Del Este, Uruguay. Seated at right is Douglas Dillon, U.S. Treasury Secretary. Standing are Campos of Brazil and Beltran of Peru.



# Should Children *BE SEEN*, and *NOT HEARD*?

*WHAT is a child's true STATUS? HOW QUICKLY should we expect children to act "grown-up"? What about dress, mannerisms, play? HOW should we teach our children to act in front of their elders?*

by Garner Ted Armstrong

**M**Y, SUCH sweet little children!" exclaimed the waitress as the mother of three, two boys and a girl, took her place at the table in the fashionable restaurant.

That was before bedlam. Later, as the harrassed waitress, the indignant manager, and the annoyed customers breathed a sigh of relief as the monstrosly disobedient little toddlers left with their mother, no one in the whole restaurant thought the children were "sweet!"

Pretty is as pretty does—goes the old saying. And HOW TRUE! During their stay in the restaurant, the children had spilled food and water, jumped from their chairs innumerable times, including one brief foray *out of the restaurant and into the street* by one of the boys, kicked the waitress' shins—LITERALLY!—strode up to guests seated at nearby tables to peer into their plates and demand to know what they were eating, and created one of the most annoying scenes imaginable.

I know. I was there!

The mother? She placidly ate her food as if the children were nonexistent—it was the manager who retrieved her boy from outside!

## Arguments from Dreamers

"If those were my children . . ." breathed a middle-aged waitress I know personally. The implied threat was obvious! But HORROR of HORRORS! Did the matronly waitress mean she would have considered "HITTING" those "sweet" little children?

YES, she did!

And so would I!

THINK for a moment! The scene I have just described is one that is happening *constantly* in restaurants, bus depots, super markets and homes across

our lands! It is NOT a once-in-a-while occurrence, a rare incident. It is fast becoming so commonplace that dozens of waitresses to whom I have spoken literally HATE to see families with children enter their restaurants!

In the last number, we saw the habits of self-discipline that will guarantee your child can become an attentive pupil in school. Those same habits must be taught in the HOME before they can be utilized in public places.

I have had dozens of waitresses express in AMAZEMENT their surprise at the three quiet boys my wife and I have had beside us in restaurants. This has occurred *so many times* that I have literally lost count.

But what about it? Would some of those very waitresses DEPLORE the *methods* I have used to *achieve* such results?

Perhaps.

*Everyone* likes to see obedient children. Waitresses, bus drivers, clerks *enjoy* seeing quiet, respectful children. Schoolteachers, policemen—even child psychologists like to see quiet, respectful, obedient children.

But isn't it amazing that while many will literally LOVE THE PRODUCT—they will HATE THE TEACHING that produced it?

Isn't it a little *startling* to realize HOW MANY there are (and usually women), who absolutely HATE the God-given methods of LOVE, of *positive teaching*, of *right parental example*, and of swift, never-failing and just PUNISHMENT which BRING ABOUT the very results in children *we all love to see*?

Stern, cold facts are difficult to ignore. Nevertheless, millions *do* ignore them.

Millions of sheer dreamers still dismiss the *wonderfully* SOUND and GOD-GIVEN methods of proper child training

with *impunity*—and all this based upon a SUPPOSED case of child-beating, and injury inflicted upon a child by a raging parent who has never been FIT to be a parent—or any number of other excuses.

These same ones WANT to see cheerful, *happy*, OBEDIENT children—but they want the children to *become* this way without diligent, patient teaching—without the proper, consistent, never-failing, GOD-GIVEN method of SPANKING for rebellion and disobedience.

## The "Smart Alec" Child!

Today, Americans LAUGH at the antics of children of the "Dennis The Menace" type! It seems we believe that BOISTEROUSNESS, *well-intentioned* INTERFERENCE by little boys and girls in the activities of adults, PRESUMPTUOUSNESS and RUDENESS are *funny*!

Have *you* noticed the "Smart Alec" child? Have YOU noticed how many children YOU know who will boldly interrupt their elders' conversations, demand loudly to know "WHERE ARE YOU GOING?!" or "WHAT ARE YOU DOING?" or say, "HEY! YOU!" to the postman?

How many children have YOU seen who "talk big" and "act big" and receive *praise and glowing* flattery because of it?

Many seem to delight in a *little child* acting "grown-up!" It is "cute" we seem to think, for a LITTLE CHILD to use big words, talk up boldly to his elders, and become the center of attention in every group. But in reality, it teaches children to feel *as equals* toward their elders—to DISRESPECT the conversations of those who are their superiors—to be *rude, brusque, and presumptuous*!

Let's use our minds! Is it REALLY "cute" for a little toddler to walk boldly up to an adult chewing food, and de-

mand loudly to know "WHAT ARE YOU EATING??" Is it REALLY "cute" for a tiny boy or girl to walk boldly into the midst of a group of adults conversing together, and INTERRUPT their conversation—becoming the center of attention with some quip or "cute saying?" Do postmen, milkmen, workmen and visitors REALLY think it is "sweet" to have your little children DEMAND their attention in a loud and noisome voice?

Of course not! It is embarrassing, frustrating and bothersome! No milkman wants to loudly tell your child to SHUT UP! But he is probably *thinking* it! NONE of the guests in the restaurant in the scene already described had the courage to speak up and tell the little children to SHUT UP and SIT DOWN! But DOZENS of them were grumbling under their breath about it. NO guest in your home will answer to your child's demand to know what they are eating that it is "NONE OF YOUR BUSINESS!" But they will probably WISH they could!

Do you begin to see? It is NOT "cute" or "sweet" to permit children to mimic the ABOMINABLE practices our depraved society seems to *laugh* at in the comics and TV today—it is the exact opposite! CHECK these tendencies in your children.

Teach your children to show RESPECT to any and all elders. Show them how others, whether workmen, delivery men or guests are SUPERIOR to them—that they are OLDER, *mature, responsible!* Explain to your children that THEY ARE JUST LITTLE CHILDREN!

### Treat Your Children Like Children!

What *is* a child's status?

That of a child!

Can we LEARN this simple truth? I have heard parents say they would *never* talk any of this syrupy "baby-talk" to THEIR children! THEIR children were going to sound like GROWN-ups! What a pity! Is it a *crime* for a child to *be* a child, to ACT like a child, and to be *treated* like a child? Is it *more proper* to solemnly shake your little toddler's *hand*, while sternly telling him you are "pleased with his performance" or to catch him up in your arms, kissing him all over his face and neck, and roll around on the floor with him in playful FUN?

Pity the child made to ACT "older"

by naive parents who think it a *shame* for a baby to BE a baby, a child to BE a child!

Let your children be children! TEACH them their status! It WILL NOT give them an inferiority complex!

For an example, let's think of a child riding with his parents in a car. He has a healthy curiosity, of course—and this should be encouraged in the right way—but channeled and *guided*, nevertheless. Suppose he should realize you are looking for a parking place. What if he sees a place across the street, and, leaning over the back of the front seat, loudly says, "DADDY! *There's* a place—*across the street!*"

Here is an opportunity to teach your children a vital lesson. It is NOT necessary to completely squelch all initiative, or to take all spontaneity and enthusiasm from children—but it IS NECESSARY TO TEACH THEM THEIR PROPER RELATIONSHIP TO THEIR ELDERS AND THEIR PARENTS!

Here is an opportunity to teach such balanced relationship. Teach your child he or she is a PASSENGER! *Explain* why you can't park in the place across the street, and then tell the child that when he is riding in the car he does not ever, under any circumstances assist in the guiding, driving and manipulation of that car! Tell him that *Daddy* is doing the driving—and that the boy is doing the RIDING! EXPLAIN it. It will be really GOOD for your children to realize YOU ARE IN CONTROL! Explain to your children they should be *observant* passengers—but *passengers*, nevertheless! As silent observers, they can appraise the driving, they can watch their father's actions at the wheel—but they should NEVER be permitted to presumptuously try to CONTROL those actions!

Make your children *realize* their status. Make them *realize* there are many things they can LEARN from their elders. Make them RESPECT those in authority over them! Treat them like CHILDREN—not equals!

### What About CLOTHES, MANNERISMS and PLAY?

I'm sure you have seen little girls clapping along the street, wearing their mother's high-heeled shoes, or a big hat, and carrying a big purse, haven't

you? Surely you've seen little children try to mimic their parents' habits, or their dress, and their mannerisms.

Perhaps this is harmless enough—and certainly not *necessarily* that which would warrant a spanking (unless, of course, the child has been forbidden to take such articles, or has on her mother's best things, and is being totally presumptuous and careless with them!) . . . but it does illustrate a potential danger nevertheless!

Today, the trend seems to be to HURRY the "growing up" process in children. We want to HURRY them into school, HURRY them into adult clothing styles. In turn, we see mere youths wanting to HURRY into marriage—worried about a tottering civilization closing in on them, stripping from them the years of happiness they had envisioned as growing youths.

This is a *trend!* Clothiers and designers have helped it along by providing tiny replicas of ADULT style clothing for *little children!* You've heard it a hundred times! The grandparents, or the relatives, or the guests in the home would say, "WHY, he's a real little MAN!" as they exclaim in pleased tones about the complete little *suit* the toddler is wearing, replete with necktie, tie pin, and all the requirements of adult dress. Or, "My! What a BIG boy you are now!" they bemusedly exclaim to Johnnie as he strolls by in his adult-appearing clothing. Or, "My! What a regular little LADY!" they say of the little girl, wearing clothes styled just like mother's.

But no—they are *not* big men and ladies—they are LITTLE CHILDREN! It is RIGHT to compliment a child with-in reason (remembering NOT to *flatter*, or give a child a sense of VANITY about his appearance—but NOT to imply he is OLDER than he is, more MATURE than he is, or that he is ANYTHING other than JUST WHAT HE IS—A CHILD!

There is nothing EMBARRASSING about being a child! There is nothing WRONG with being a child! There is nothing SHAMEFUL about being a child! Let your little children *be* little children! DON'T hasten them into adulthood too soon!

(Please continue on page 30)

# The Autobiography of Herbert W. Armstrong

*With the broadcast heard in every state, new opposition  
develops—but every threat brings new growth to the work!*

## INSTALLMENT 44

**W**E HAVE come to January, 1943. The WORLD TOMORROW, at last, was being heard in every state.

A year before, the program still was heard only in the two states of Oregon and Washington, and on only three small stations in Eugene, Portland and Seattle. But 1942 saw the work of God expand, first to the then 10,000-watt KGA in Spokane; then we began the very first *daily* broadcasting, over KMTR (now KLAC) Hollywood; then a San Diego station.

Leaving Hollywood to return to our office in Eugene, Oregon, I was forced to stop the daily broadcasting. It was impossible to feed a *daily* half-hour to KMTR from Eugene. But then we opened on our first superpower clear-channel station, WHO, Des Moines, August 30, 1942. From this great *exclusive*-channel station we received listener mail from every state. One 11 P.M. broadcast brought 2,200 letters from listeners!

However, in late January 1943, a powerful persecuting pressure from New York threatened immediate cancellation on WHO. I was able to hold to the completion of our year's contract, but no further. Then a second of the only eight *exclusive*-channel stations, the 50,000-watt WOAI, San Antonio, opened to us—also at the very late hour of 11 P.M., Sundays only.

So now, late January, 1943, the original Gospel Christ Himself preached was being heard coast to coast on two clear-channel 50,000-watt stations, beside the stations in Eugene, Portland, Seattle, Spokane, Hollywood and San Diego—eight stations!

### Persecution Continues

I had gone on WOAI sooner than we were financially ready to add another

such powerful station. But when it became definite we could not continue on WHO after August 23 of that year, I felt it imperative that we become established on another station of such wide coverage, so that our listeners would know where to find the program.

I thought we would, at last, be free from this kind of opposition. But we were not—have never been since—never will be, in *this* world, as long as we remain faithful in proclaiming Christ's own true Gospel in its purity and in power! "All who will live Godly in Christ Jesus shall suffer persecution," says the sure Word of God.

And from what source does persecution usually come? Jesus Christ was our example. He was persecuted. And from what source? Mostly from the source of *organized religion!* His true Message from God was different from the doctrines and ways of the organized religion controlled by Pharisees, Sadducees, and their ilk. They had strayed from, and perverted, the doctrines and ways God had given them. But their false teachings and customs were well established in the religious tradition of the time. They accused Jesus of being a false prophet, a deceiver, a heretic and of being subversive to Caesar's government.

It is hard to realize, but it is true—there are the modern Pharisees today, and they are organized. They, too, incredible though it may seem to some, maintain a well-established religious tradition which has, long before the living generation, departed far from the true Gospel and the teachings and practices of Jesus Christ, the original Apostles, and the original true Church of God! Human nature has not changed. The same hostility seizes them, toward Christ's TRUTH, that inspired religious leaders to accuse, persecute, and to crucify Jesus Christ!

Not all of this opposition originates in New York, though powerful forces bent on controlling religion, as far as possible, do operate from there. The method of attack against the Truth of Christ, especially among lesser groups, is generally to accuse, to indulge in personal abuse, to impute evil motives, to attempt to discredit, and to belittle and ridicule. Christ's servant, of course, is accused of being unorthodox—as Jesus was. He is accused of having received his "false" teachings from human sources supposedly discredited. Always those who, themselves, have embraced the very antithesis of true Biblical teachings, accuse God's true ministers of being "unscriptural." They then twist, wrest, and pervert the sacred Word of God, misapplying Scriptures out of context, to defend their own paganized and anti-Scriptural "orthodox" traditions.

But, did you ever notice that God's ministers who faithfully proclaim His truth in the power of His Holy Spirit do not resort to personalities, do not impute motives or attempt to discredit specific persons, do not belittle or ridicule? Nor do we, either on the air, or in print, knowingly or intentionally say anything derogatory about any person, organization or group. True, Jesus Himself did tell the Pharisees in presence of others that they were hypocrites, liars, false leaders—He told His listeners what they were, and warned against following their false ways. But He always said it in a straightforward and sincere manner, never using the psychological trickery of implication, designed to falsely discredit or belittle.

Anyway, the insidious forces of persecution followed us to WOAI. But the station liked the program—the leading business men of San Antonio liked it, and made me an honorary life member

*(Please continue on page 19)*

# RADIO LOG

"The WORLD TOMORROW"

## MAJOR STATIONS—

### Heard over wide areas

#### East

- \*WHN—New York—9:00 a.m. Sun.  
 WWVA—Wheeling, W. Va.—1170 on dial, 10:30 a.m. and 11:15 p.m. Sun., 10 p.m. Mon. thru Fri. (E.S.T.)  
 WNAC—Boston—680 on dial, 8:30 p.m. Sun.  
 WIBG—Philadelphia—990 on dial, 12:30 p.m. Sun.  
 WPTT—Raleigh, N.C.—680 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun., 8:05 p.m. and 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.

#### Central States

- WLAC—Nashville—1510 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 7 p.m. daily and 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat. (C.S.T.)  
 WSM—Nashville—650 on dial, 9 p.m. Sun., 12 a.m. Mon., thru Fri., 1 a.m. Sun. (C.S.T.)  
 \*WCKY—Cincinnati—1530 on dial, 7 and 9:30 p.m. Sun., 5:30 a.m. and 10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat. (E.S.T.)  
 CKLW—Detroit-Windsor—800 on dial, 7 p.m. Sun., 5:30 a.m. Mon. thru Fri., 6:15 a.m. Sat.  
 KCMO—Kansas City—810 on dial, 7:30 p.m. Sun., 8:15 p.m. and 5 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KXEL—Waterloo, Ia.—1540 on dial, 8 p.m. Sun., 9:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KXEN—St. Louis—1010 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 12 noon Mon. thru Sat.

#### South

- KRLD—Dallas—1080 on dial, 8:10 p.m. daily.  
 KTRH—Houston—740 on dial, 8:00 p.m. Sun., 8:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KWKH—Shreveport—1130 on dial, 10:30 a.m. and 10:30 p.m. Sun., 9:15 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 8:30 a.m. and 11:30 p.m. Sat.  
 WGBS—Miami—710 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun.  
 KTHS—Little Rock—1090 on dial, 9:30 a.m. and 8:15 p.m. Sun., 9:15 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 8 p.m. Sat.  
 WNOE—New Orleans—1060 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.  
 WGUN—Atlanta—1010 on dial, 4 p.m. Sun., 11 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 \*KRMG—Tulsa—740 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 6:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 XEG—1050 on dial, 8:30 p.m. daily. (C.S.T.)

\*Asterisk indicates new station or time change.

#### Mountain States

- CFRN—Edmonton, Alta.—1260 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.  
 KOA—Denver—850 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.  
 XELO—800 on dial, 8 p.m. (M.S.T.) 9 p.m. (C.S.T.) daily.

#### West Coast

- KGO—San Francisco—810 on dial, 10 p.m. Sun., 9:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 \*KIRO—Seattle—710 on dial, 10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat., 5:30 a.m. Tues. thru Sat.  
 KGBS—Los Angeles—1020 on dial, 10 p.m. Sun.  
 KRAK—Sacramento—1140 on dial, 9 p.m. daily.  
 KFRE—Fresno—940 on dial, 10:30 a.m. and 8 p.m. Sun., 8 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.  
 \*XERB—Lower Calif.—1090 on dial, 7 p.m. daily; 9:30 a.m. Mon. thru Fri.

## LEADING LOCAL-AREA STATIONS

#### East

- \*WJRZ—New York area—970 on dial, 9 a.m. Sun., 7:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 WBMD—Baltimore—750 on dial, 12 noon daily.  
 WWIN—Baltimore—1400 on dial, 12 noon Sun., 12:15 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 WPIT—Pittsburgh—730 on dial, 7:00 a.m. daily.  
 CKFH—Toronto—1430 on dial, 10:15 p.m. Sun. and Sat., 9:00 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.  
 WMIE—Miami, Fla.—1140 on dial, 8:30 a.m. Sun., 12 noon Mon. thru Sat.

#### Central

- WSPD—Toledo, Ohio—1370 on dial, 9:05 p.m. daily.  
 WJBK—Detroit—1500 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.  
 WADC—Akron, Ohio—1350 on dial, 9:30 p.m. daily.  
 WOW—Omaha, Nebr.—590 on dial, 9:30 p.m. Sun., 10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KRVN—Lexington, Nebr.—1010 on dial, 10:30 a.m. daily.  
 WNAX—Yankton, S. Dak.—570 on dial, 8:30 p.m. daily.  
 \*WEAW—Chicago—1330 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.; 105.1 FM, 9:30 p.m. Sun.; 7 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 WIBC—Indianapolis—1070 on dial, 10:30 p.m. Sun.  
 WFBM—Indianapolis—1260 on dial, 7:15 p.m. daily.  
 KWTO—Springfield, Mo.—560 on dial, 7:00 p.m. daily.  
 KFH—Wichita, Kans.—1330 on dial, 6:30 p.m. daily.  
 KEVE—Minneapolis—1440 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 7:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 WEBC—Duluth, Minn.—560 on dial, 7:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri., 11:30 a.m. Sat.

- \*WOOD—Grand Rapids, Mich.—1300 on dial, 8:30 p.m. daily.  
 KFYR—Bismarck, N. Dak.—550 on dial, 7 p.m. daily.  
 CFQC—Saskatoon, Sask.—600 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.

#### South

- KCTA—Corpus Christi, Tex.—1030 on dial, 2 p.m. Sun., 12:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 4:30 p.m. Sat.  
 KCUL—Ft. Worth—1540 on dial, 1 p.m. Sun., 8:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KENS—San Antonio—680 on dial, 9:00 p.m. Sun., 9:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KFMJ—Tulsa—1050 on dial, 12:30 p.m. daily.  
 KBYE—Okla. City—890 on dial, 10:30 a.m. Sun., 12:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KWAM—Memphis—990 on dial, 10 a.m. Sun., 11:00 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 WKYB—Paducah, Ky.—570 on dial, 12 noon daily.  
 KTLU—Rusk, Texas—1580 on dial, 7:30 a.m. Sun.

#### Mountain States

- KPHO—Phoenix—910 on dial, 6:30 p.m. daily.  
 KLZ—Denver—560 on dial, 10:45 p.m. Sun. thru Fri., 10:30 a.m. Sat.  
 KCPX—Salt Lake City—1320 on dial, 7 p.m. daily.  
 KIDO—Boise, Idaho—630 on dial, 7 p.m. daily.

#### West Coast

- KHQ—Spokane—590 on dial, 8 p.m. daily.  
 KVI—Seattle—570 on dial, 8 a.m. Sun.  
 KNBX—Seattle—1050 on dial, 12 noon daily.  
 KWJJ—Portland—1080 on dial, 10 p.m. Sun., 9 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KUGN—Eugene—590 on dial, 7 p.m. daily.  
 KBZY—Salem, Oregon—1490 on dial, 6:30 p.m. daily.  
 KUMA—Pendleton, Oregon—1290 on dial, 7:00 p.m. daily.  
 \*KSAY—San Francisco—1010 on dial, 7:30 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 \*KFRC—San Francisco—610 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.  
 KTMS—Santa Barbara, Calif.—1250 on dial, 8:05 p.m. daily.  
 KHJ—Los Angeles—930 on dial, 7:30 p.m. Sun.  
 \*KRKD—Los Angeles—1150 on dial, 9:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. Sun., 6:15 a.m. and 7 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KBLA—Burbank—1490 on dial, 7:30 a.m. and 12:30 p.m. daily.  
 KACE—San Bernardino-Riverside—1570 on dial, 92.7 FM, 9:30 a.m. Sun., 7:05 a.m. Mon. thru Sat.  
 KNEZ—Lompoc, Calif.—960 on dial, 9:30 a.m. Sun.

# RADIO LOG

"The WORLD TOMORROW"

In Spanish—

KALI—Los Angeles, Calif.—1430 on dial, 4:45 p.m. Sun.

*Alaska & Hawaii*

KFQD—Anchorage, Alaska—730 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.

KULA—Honolulu, Hawaii—690 on dial, 7:30 p.m. daily.

*Canada (in French)*

CKJL—St. Jerome, Quebec—900 kc., 10:30 a.m. Sun.

## TO EUROPE

In English—

RADIO LUXEMBOURG—208 metres (1439 kc.)—Mondays and Tuesdays: 23:30 G.M.T.

In French—

RADIO LUXEMBOURG—1293 metres—5:40 a.m., Mon.

EUROPE NO. ONE—Felsberg en Sarre, Germany—182 kc. (1622 m.)—5:45 a.m. Wed.

In German—

RADIO LUXEMBOURG—49 metres (6090 kc.) and 208 metres (1439 kc.)—Sun., 6:05 a.m.; Wed., 7:00 a.m., M.E.T.

## TO MIDDLE EAST

RADIO JERUSALEM—9008 kc., 333 m., English—5:30 p.m. Mon. and Sat.; French—5:45 p.m. Tues. and Fri.

## TO AFRICA

RADIO LOURENCO MARQUES, MOZAMBIQUE—3301 kc. and 4925 kc.—10:30 p.m., Mondays and Tuesdays; 10:00 p.m., Saturdays.

## TO ASIA

RADIO BANGKOK—HSIJS—461.5 metres (651 kc.), Monday—10:35-11:05 p.m.

RADIO TAIWAN (FORMOSA) "The 3rd Network, B.C.C."—BED23 Taichung 1380 kc.; BED55 960 kc.; BED78 Tainan City 1540 kc.; BED79 Kaohsiung 1220 kc.; BED82 Chiayi 1460 kc.—18:00 T.S.T., Wed. and Fri.

RADIO OKINAWA—KSBK—880 kc. Sundays: 12:06 noon.

ALTO BROADCASTING SYSTEM—PHILIPPINE ISLANDS:

DZAQ, Manila—620 kc.—9:00 p.m. Sunday.

DZRI, Dagupan City—1040 kc.—9:00 p.m. Sunday.

DZRB, Naga City—1060 kc.—9:00 p.m. Sunday.

DXAW, Davao City—640 kc.—9:00 p.m. Sunday.

## TO AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND

2KY—Sydney, NSW—1020 kc.—10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Thurs., 10:45 p.m. Fri. and Sat.

2AY—Albury, NSW—1490 kc.—10:00 p.m. Sun.; 10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.

2GF—Grafton, NSW—1210 kc.—10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

2GN—Goulburn, NSW—1380 kc.—10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

2HD—Newcastle, NSW—1140 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun.; 10:03 p.m. Mon. thru Thurs.; 10:50 p.m. Fri.

2KA—Katoomba, NSW—780 kc.—10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

2KM—Kempsey, NSW—980 kc.—10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

2MW—Murwillumbah, NSW—1440 kc.—10:30 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

3AW—Melbourne, Vic.—1280 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun.

3BO—Bendigo, Vic.—960 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

3CV—Maryborough, Vic.—1440 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

3HA—Hamilton, Vic.—1000 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

3KZ—Melbourne, Vic.—1180 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun.; 10:45 p.m. Mon. thru Thurs.; 10:15 p.m. Fri.

3MA—Mildura, Vic.—1470 kc.—3:30 p.m. Mon. thru Fri., 10:00 p.m. Sat.

3SH—Swanhill, Vic.—1330 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

3SR—Shepparton, Vic.—1260 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

3UL—Warragul, Vic.—880 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

3YB—Warrnambool, Vic.—1210 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

4AK—Oakey, Qld.—1220 kc.—9:30 p.m. Sun.; 10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Thurs.; 10:30 p.m. Fri.

4BK—Brisbane, Qld.—1290 kc.—9:30 p.m. Sun.; 10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Thurs.; 10:30 p.m. Fri.

4CA—Cairns, Qld.—1010 kc.—10:00 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

4TO—Townsville, Qld.—780 kc.—10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

4KQ—Brisbane, Qld.—690 kc.—10:30 p.m. Sun.

4WK—Warwick, Qld.—880 kc.—10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

6GE—Geraldton, WA—1010 kc.—9:30 p.m. Sun.; 10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.

6KG—Kalgoorlie, WA—860 kc.—10:00 p.m. Mon. thru Sat.

6PM—Perth, WA—1000 kc.—10:00 p.m. Sun.; 10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.

6AM—Northam, WA—980 kc.—10:00 p.m. Sun.; 10:15 p.m. Mon. thru Fri.

7AD—Devonport, Tas.—900 kc.—3:30 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

7SD—Scottsdale, Tas.—540 kc.—4:00 p.m. Sun. thru Fri.

2XM—Gisborne, New Zealand—1180 kc.—8:30 p.m. Wed., 9:15 p.m. Thurs.; 10:00 p.m. Sat.

## TO LATIN AMERICA

In English—

RADIO AMERICAS—Swan Island—1160 kc.—6:30 p.m., E.S.T. Sun.

\*RADIO AMERICA—Lima, Peru—1010 kc.—5:15 p.m. Saturdays.

HOC21, Panama City—1115 kc.—7:00 p.m., Sundays.

HP5A, Panama City—11170 kc.—7:00 p.m., Sundays.

HOK, Colon, Panama—640 kc.—7:00 p.m., Sundays.

HP5K, Colon, Panama—6005 kc.—7:00 p.m., Sundays.

In Spanish—

RADIO AMERICAS—Swan Island—1160 kc.—8:30 p.m., Sat. and Sun. (C.S.T.)

RADIO LA CRONICA—Lima, Peru—1010 kc.—7:00 p.m. Sun.

RADIO COMUNEROS—Asuncion, Paraguay—970 kc.—8:30 p.m. Thursdays.

RADIO SPORT—CXA19—Montevideo, Uruguay—11835 kc.—4:00 p.m., Sundays.

RADIO CARVE—CX16, 850 kc., and CXA13, 6156 kc.—Montevideo, Uruguay—3:30 p.m., Saturdays.

In French—

4VBM—Port au Prince, Haiti—1430 kc., 7:45 p.m. Wed.

4VCM—Port au Prince, Haiti—6165 kc., 7:45 p.m. Wed.

## Autobiography

(Continued from page 17)

of the Business Men's Bible Class (not denominational, though men of many denominations belonged)—and the

program remained on WOAI until after we obtained the earlier and prime time of 8 P.M. on the 100,000-watt clear-channel XELO. We had started on XELO in 1944, and continued on WOAI until some time during 1945.

Meanwhile, God had been moving to

increase the radio power in the Pacific Northwest.

### Portland Power Increase

During 1941, 1942 and 1943 I had been holding evangelistic services in the Chamber of Commerce auditorium in

downtown Seattle, and also a few services in Everett, Washington. A small church had been formed there. Several local members in Seattle and Everett made it possible to release the *World Tomorrow* over the more powerful KVI, with studios then in Tacoma. This was a 5,000-watt station, but with its dial spot at 570, and its transmitter on an island in Puget Sound, KVI had a signal about equal to 25,000 watts at a higher frequency and average transmitter location. We did not drop KRSC, but used both stations by means of our Liberty network wire at 8:30 Sunday mornings.

We remained with KVI many years, until the station was sold. Recently we have once again added a Sunday time on this outstanding 5,000-watt station, although we now are on Seattle's 50,000-watt KIRO twice daily.

It must have been early winter, 1942-43, that I had taken a trip to Des Moines to put the program on WHO "live." It was necessary to do this frequently, on so important a station. Returning I stopped off briefly in Denver. We were not ready to expand on additional stations as yet, but I was then beginning to lay the ground-work for future expansion by making contacts with managements of stations we might desire to add later.

I called at the offices of the ABC Network station, KVOD, 5,000 watts. I believe the executive I contacted was the Vice President. In any event, he was having a busy day with conferences, and was very abrupt in telling me bluntly they would clear no time for religious programming.

I never had been in the habit of taking a flat turn-down, without a hearing, as the saying is, "sitting down." I came back at him with all the force and salesmanship I had ever had in my former advertising days.

I explained how *different* the *World Tomorrow* is from any other "religious" program, and demanded that he audition a program. Reluctantly, he consented, but offered no hope.

I had to return to my hotel room to obtain transcription discs, telling him I would return in ten minutes. I walked rapidly—almost ran—to the hotel. On the way I realized, belatedly, why I had

met with such a negative reception. I had failed to take this call on KVOD up with the One I was working for. It had long before become custom to pray before any call or conference of any import, asking God's direction, wisdom, and to give me favor in the eyes of the man with whom I had to deal.

Christ's Commission is: "Go ye into all the world" with His message. To go to the world with the Gospel necessitates dealing *with* the world, and with some of its business organizations. Therefore God's servant ought to seek not only divine guidance in such dealing—but also, since God is able to make even our enemies be at peace with us, to ask for favor with such people as we must deal. In all my years of experience, God has never failed to grant this request!

But this time, in my eagerness, I had gotten ahead of God. I had gone "on my own," without asking either guidance, or favor.

And right here perhaps I may give the reader an example of what God's Word means by the admonition: "Pray without ceasing," or, as Jesus said, to "pray *always*." He means we must be continually *in a spirit of prayer*. And He means to pray, constantly, over even little things that arise.

As I half walked—half ran—I prayed. There was no opportunity to kneel—nor was there, now, time. I prayed as I walked. I asked God to forgive me for negligence in not asking Him before I called. Then I asked Him, now, to change *this* man's attitude to one of favor toward me and toward the program. And I believed, and expected to receive it!

Returning to the KVOD offices, I found this official smiling. He introduced me to a couple other men. We went into an audition room. The discs were given to a technician who took them into an adjoining control room. Ordinarily, with a religious program, radio station men would listen to perhaps five or six minutes, then signal to cut it off. In those days of "electrical transcription" our half-hour program was put on two large discs, with 15 minutes on each disc. In airing, the second disc was started so smoothly the listening audience never knew there was a

change of records. I hardly dared hope that, after reaching the end of the first 15-minute disc, they would ask to hear the other. But the program was gripping their interest. The operator did not expect to play the second disc, but they signalled him to put it on. No one said a word. They just *listened*, intently.

When the half-hour program was ended, the only word spoken was: "We can clear the time 8 to 8:30 Sunday mornings for you."

By now I was not timid—I was *confident!*

"No, 8 A.M. is too early on Sunday mornings," I said, "We have found 8:30 is O.K., but 8 is too early."

"But we air our star news program at 8:30," was the reply. "We couldn't move that."

By now I was super-confident.

"No," I came back, "I won't accept 8 on Sundays. It has to be 8:30 or nothing."

He weakened, and agreed. Then it was that I learned that one of the men in the room was not a local Denver man, but a station representative who had just bought an interest in station KXL in Portland.

Now it happened that, after we had gone off KXL—and the reader will remember it had been a small 100-watt station, on which we first started in Portland, going later on 500-watt KWJJ—that KXL, under new ownership, had gone to the increased power of 10,000-watts, at the splendid low dial spot of 750 kilocycles. I had tried to get on that station, but had been unable. Desperately I wanted on KXL.

This man was on his way out to Portland. At once I told him of our desire to go on KXL. But now I was in the driver's seat, and knew it—for these men had been really impressed—so I demanded 8:30 A.M. or nothing. He agreed. I was to contact him in Portland about three days later. We could not afford to go up to the more expensive KXL in Portland, and go on KVOD too—so I had to postpone KVOD.

The sequel is that actually we did go on KVOD, many years later—in fact, only a very few years ago. It then changed its call letters, and shortly after we switched to station KLZ, the CBS

(Please continue on page 23)

# Did Jesus Have Brothers and Sisters?

Millions assume that Jesus Christ had no brothers or sisters in the flesh—that Mary, His mother, was a perpetual virgin. But is this idea true?

by J. W. Robinson

WHEN Jesus came to His own hometown synagogue to preach, he astonished the people with His teachings. In their amazement, they asked, "Whence hath *this* man this wisdom, and these mighty works? Is not this the carpenter's son? is not his mother called Mary? and his brethren, James, and Joses, and Simon, and Judas? And his sisters, are they not *all* with us?" (Matt. 13:54-56).

Notice it!

This scripture clearly states that Christ had *four* brothers, all of them being personally named. The added question, "And his sisters, are they not *all* with us?" implies that He had *at least three sisters*. If He had only two, they would have used the term, "both," instead of "all."

Some claim that these were not really brothers and sisters, only cousins. But Scripture reveals this is *not true*.

Here is the proof.

The word "brethren" is translated from the Greek word for "brother"—*adelphos*. This word may mean a spiritual brother, but it does not mean "cousin."

The English word "cousin" in Luke 1:36 and 58 is translated from *sug-genes* in the original Greek. This clearly shows that the New Testament, when referring to Jesus' family, makes them brothers and not cousins.

## Not Spiritual Brothers

What about the contention that these were Christ's spiritual brothers and sisters, instead of His blood brothers and sisters—His siblings. We find the answer in John 2:12. Christ went to Capernaum, accompanied by "His mother, and His brethren, *and His disciples*." Here we find a sharp distinction is made between His *brethren* and His *disciples*, showing that they were *NOT*

the same. His *spiritual* brethren were His disciples—those who believed His teachings. His flesh and blood brothers did not believe Him (John 7:5). This scripture certainly makes James, Joses, Simon and Judas His blood brothers.

In Mark's account of Jesus' visit to His hometown synagogue, we find the people wondering about His great wisdom. They asked, "Is not this the carpenter, the son of Mary, the brother of James, and Joses, and of Judas, and Simon? and are not his sisters here with us?" (Mark 6:3). These people, who had known Jesus from childhood, specifically stated that He was the brother of several other young people who had grown up in their midst. These people were *very* familiar with family relationships throughout the village. Notice that they were not called cousins, but brothers.

Some have, in argument, referred to Matthew 12:46-50. Here Christ said, "Whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother." They assume when the Bible speaks of Christ's brethren, it means spiritual brethren. They claim therefore that He may not have had any brothers in the flesh. Such an argument is without foundation. If this scripture proved that Christ had no brothers or sisters, *it would also prove that HE HAD NO MOTHER*. What Christ meant is that those who *obey the Father* are all equally precious in His sight, even though they were not related by blood to Jesus as were his brothers and sisters and mother!

## Christ the "Firstborn"

In Matthew 1:25 we find that Christ is called the "FIRSTBORN" son of Mary. The word "firstborn" is translated from the Greek word, *prototokon* which

means the firstborn among other children—the oldest of several children in a family. If Jesus had been Mary's *only* child, the Greek word *monogenes*, meaning "only child," would have been used in the original Greek text. Jesus was the "only begotten" human son of the Heavenly Father (John 1:18). But He was the "first born"—not an "only child"—of Mary!

Many other New Testament scriptures tell us distinctly that Jesus Christ was not the only child of His mother.

In Galatians 1:18-19, Paul mentioned having seen not only Peter, but also James the Lord's brother in Jerusalem. We know that Paul was speaking of a brother in the flesh. If he had been speaking of a spiritual brother—a brother in the faith—he certainly would have included Peter among Christ's spiritual brethren. But he did not!

Paul knew that Christ had other brothers. He stated: "Have we not power to lead about a sister, a wife, as well as other apostles, and as the *brethren of the Lord*, and Cephas [Peter]?" (I Cor. 9:5). Notice that the brethren of the Lord are distinct from the twelve apostles. In Acts 1:13-14 we find that *the disciples* "continued with one accord in prayer and supplication, with the women, and Mary the mother of Jesus, *AND with his brethren*."

## "My MOTHER'S Children"

As a final proof that Jesus Christ was not the *only child* of His mother, let us turn to Psalm 69:8, which all Bible scholars recognize as a prophecy concerning Christ. In this scripture we read, "I am become a stranger unto MY BRETHREN, and an alien unto MY MOTHER'S CHILDREN." Christ certainly was speaking of His *physical* mother and brethren—blood relatives—in this  
(Please continue on page 23)

## ARE YOU SURE?

(Continued from page 12)

MYRIAD OTHER BELIEFS—YOU HAVE BEEN DEAD WRONG!

Surprising? Probably! But true!

### How Can You KNOW?

But how can you really PROVE whether your religious convictions have been right or wrong?

Why, by going to the only true SOURCE—the Bible!

What you will find there is SHOCKING! You will discover the Bible really does say the EXACT OPPOSITE of what you've always THOUGHT it said!

But, without realizing it, most of you have simply *never thought* to attempt to PROVE these things. It simply never entered your *mind* that all these MILLIONS could have been wrong! And, to some, it almost seems "disloyal," somehow, to begin to "check up" on their beliefs of the past. Perhaps you feel it might be disloyal to a departed loved one, or to your family and friends, or to your present religious convictions! But you need to come to see it is BEING LOYAL AND FAITHFUL TO GOD!

Isaiah said, "If they speak not according to THIS WORD it is because there is no light in them" (Isa. 8:20). Yes, unless you can really *verify* it in the Bible, in the sacred Word of God, it probably just isn't true!

"PROVE all things," encouraged Paul, inspired by the Holy Spirit, "hold fast that which is good!" (I Thes. 5:21).

### A HYPOCRITICAL Nation!

There are more Bibles in the United States than people. There are about three million more Bibles sold each year.

Church attendance is at an all-time high.

*What is happening?* Is there a modern-day revival coming? Is this a genuine return to the "Faith once delivered?" Church leaders themselves say not! They feel the "return to religion" is *only skin deep!* They say the populaces are seeking only for another ESCAPE from reality, only a spiritual "tranquilizer" to assuage their daily worries!

And so—even tho we are a nation of Bible-buyers—we remain a nation of Bible illiterates! About HALF of Amer-

ica's regular churchgoers CANNOT NAME THE FIRST FOUR BOOKS OF THE NEW TESTAMENT IN ORDER. A shocking twenty five per cent of them CANNOT NAME EVEN ONE!

Fifty eight per cent of them say openly their religion has no effect whatever on their business life! Only ONE OUT OF ONE HUNDRED of today's modern divinity school students, studying to become the world's *future ministers*, believe in the second coming of Jesus Christ!

Yes, we "feel" that we are "religious." We CALL ourselves a "Christian" people. We *pride* ourselves on our family's religious *background*, holding as something *dear* to us the TRADITIONS of the past—WHETHER WE HAVE EVER STOPPED TO PROVE WHETHER THEY ARE RIGHT OR NOT!

We think, somehow, that we are "good" people!

But Almighty God thunders at us that we are HYPOCRITICAL! We make a profession of religion, but we DO NOTHING. We SAY we are Christian, but we cannot PROVE the truth of our beliefs! We take the NAME of Christ, but we do not LIVE as He commanded us! We talk about the "Good Book," or the "Holy Word," or the "Sacred Scriptures," or the "Holy Writ," BUT WE REMAIN IN ABJECT, DARKENED, BLINDED IGNORANCE ABOUT WHAT IT CONTAINS!

### You Can Be SURE!

Surprising though it may sound—difficult as it may be for you to believe—you *have been deceived!*

You have never thought it was really your DUTY to check up and SEE whether or not you could find the things you've always "just taken for granted" in the Bible! Well, CAN YOU FIND THEM THERE? Are you ready to begin to *question* your past beliefs, opinions, convictions, or your "faith" you have held dear? Is it *safe* to check up? Is it LOYAL?

Listen! If the things you have always thought are *true*, then they will be PROVED to be true—*by the Bible!* You need have NO fear to check up and see if they are really thoroughly grounded in Scripture. But if they are NOT true, then you will see them *disproved by the Bible!*

But the very *first* step, the real *beginning* for you, the way you can be really SURE is to *admit to yourself* the SOURCE of your beliefs, to come to the frank realization *you could have been* WRONG!

Then, begin to really *set yourself* to FIND OUT! Begin to really STUDY THE BIBLE! Look into it, check up, search the Scriptures, PROVE all things, be SURE!

*Never* take anything for granted. Always PROVE everything with a positive approach and a willing mind. Really begin to *hunger and thirst* for the truth of God, and begin to LIVE by what you see revealed!

If you *do* these things, you will be embarking on a totally *new beginning* in your life—a refreshing, exhilarating, enlivening, rewarding and deeply satisfying EXPERIENCE! If you are *not yet* enrolled for the plain, *clear*, FREE Correspondence Course, then write for your first sample copy immediately!

## What our READERS SAY

(Continued from page 2)

engaged in, after having been in the ministry for thirty years. It is also the most 'Bible-centered study' I have ever read. I have almost completed my second lesson, and I am pleased beyond words of expression with the way you exalt the Bible, God's Eternal Word, in answering the questions that you ask. I am increasingly coming to see the importance of 'Living by every word of God.' It is the Jesus way, and it must be our way too, if we are to please God and win victories down here like Jesus did."

From Georgia

### Young Man Prospers

"I am now experiencing material prosperity as a result of paying tithes that I have NEVER known before. I have good health and strength. I have a good job. I have just purchased some income property (something I thought I would never be able to do this early in life.) The investment is small, but good and

sound. All of these blessings (they are a lot to me) because I tithed and do my best to live by every word of God."

Man from Chicago, Illinois

### Obedience Brings Blessings

"God does really shower blessings on those who obey His commands, especially in regard to tithing. My husband has had two increases in pay, one of them taking him from a job that was very hard on him, into a job that is more suited to his talents. We have been blessed with good health, too, and no emergencies have arisen to trouble our budget as they often did before."

Woman from Ravenna, Ohio

### I Was Prejudiced!

"I would like to thank you for your wonderful magazine. When I first read the article on 'War with Russia?' I figured you were crazy and you were all fanatics. I was amazed that people could believe anything so ridiculous. But I again examined the article and found, much to my surprise, that there were truths there that I would probably not be able to find anywhere else."

Woman from Barnesville, Ohio

● Many people wonder if this year will see war with Russia. Do *you* know? If not, write immediately for the free article 'Will Russia Attack America?'

## Autobiography

(Continued from page 20)

affiliate in Denver, and more recently the *World Tomorrow* has gone on Denver's 50,000-watt KOA also.

At this juncture I must break off with a personal note of apology to our readers. This had been written on the train, en route to New York. Mrs. Armstrong and I are sailing again to England. The new college there demands its share of my time. This last paragraph is written in our hotel room. We must leave immediately to embark on the ship. Press date is too close to allow completing this at sea and mailing it from England. On board ship, however, I expect to have five days to write a full-size installment for next month—and other articles too.

## Monster Rears Up!

(Continued from page 10)

had been signed within the Vatican walls, it could not have favored the church more."

This revealing article went on to describe how the Common Market is now being considered by the Church the work of "DIVINE PROVIDENCE!"

Later, *Topic* said: "Small wonder then that the Roman Church is smiling benignly over the formation of what Vatican officials defined as 'THE GREATEST CATHOLIC SUPER-STATE THE WORLD HAS EVER KNOWN!'" (emphasis mine).

THERE IT IS!

The time for doubt and scoffing is past! These prophecies are *real*—they are unfolding and happening before your very eyes! Truly *gigantic* happenings are under way in Europe today which will drastically alter the course of your private life! It's time you begin to really HEED the words of Jesus Christ, who said: "Watch you therefore, and *pray always*, that you may be accounted worthy to escape all these things that shall come to pass, and to stand before the Son of man" (Luke 21:36).

## Personal from the Editor

(Continued from page 4)

lion readers, two or three who angrily wrote me accusingly, imputing evil motives, and telling me that instead of plain truth, this magazine prints plain LIES! I am sorry some small few are in the gall of bitterness, always looking for a chance to accuse, malign and abuse.

A few postal employes wrote helpfully, to explain that we do not do *all* of the postal department's work for them—and that where only a very few copies go to a certain town, they still have to handle it. My intention, however, beside informing our readers of something in which I felt they would be interested, was to express gratitude to the Post Office Department, and to make clear to readers HOW MUCH the Government's postal service does give them—even for the 5c I mistakenly took for granted was already in effect.

Copie degli opuscoli: ESISTE DIO? e CHE COSA È LA FEDE? in italiano possono essere ottenute a richiesta, GRATIS.

Inviare le vostre richieste a: IL MONDO DI DOMANI

Box 111

Pasadena, California

U.S.A.

## Jesus' Brothers

(Continued from page 21)

prophecy. Christ's spiritual mother is the Church (Rev. 12:1-5) and His spiritual brethren are His followers—those who compose the true Church today (Matt. 12:49-50, Rom. 8:16-17, 29). Christ's spiritual brethren certainly recognized Him from the beginning of His ministry (John 1:35-49). He WAS a stranger (an alien) to His physical brethren, however. They did not believe in Him during His ministry (John 7:2-5), although some of them were converted after His resurrection.

Anyone who earnestly believes that the Holy Bible is the inspired Word of God *cannot* fail to see these facts. These scriptures definitely *prove*—absolutely—that Jesus Christ did have brothers and sisters who were the children of His mother. Their father was Joseph. Joseph was the legal, not actual, father of Jesus. This means that Jesus and His brothers and sisters had the same mother, but not the same father.


The question we now must answer is this: Are we willing to *totally submit* ourselves to God and accept *His Divine Word* as Truth? or would we rather believe the edict of pagans who want to deify a woman by claiming her as a *perpetual virgin*? The doctrine of "perpetual virginity" is the teaching of Semiramis, the harlot founder of the Babylonian Mysteries upon which much of *modern* Christianity, so-called, is based. It is rank heathenism.

According to the Bible, Jesus' physical mother led a normal married life and bore Joseph, her husband, several children after the birth of Jesus Christ. Any other doctrine is a fable.

# PLAIN TRUTH NOW PRINT

**A**NOTHER milestone has been achieved! With this May issue, the U.S. and Canadian editions of The PLAIN TRUTH are being printed on this new, gigantic, high speed, ultra-modern press.

This press is a recent arrival at Pacific Press Inc., Los Angeles—printers of The PLAIN TRUTH. It took months to assemble after it was delivered to Pacific Press. The new press enables




## THE NEW GIANT 6-UNIT WEB OFFSET PR

# ED ON GIANT NEW PRESS

us to give you many more two-color pages. It is engineered to give 38,000 precision impressions per hour! And did you notice, in the picture below, that the men who operate the gigantic press have become almost lost from view?

We would like to take this opportunity to express our appreciation to our printers for their cooperation in making The PLAIN TRUTH continually a finer publication!



ESS CURRENTLY PRINTING PLAIN TRUTH

# TEN COMMANDMENTS nailed to the Cross?

(Continued from page 8)

90, in the very closing days of apostolic times. Turn to I John 3:4, and you will read that "SIN IS THE TRANSGRESSION OF THE LAW."

WHAT law? It could not be the Mosaic sacrificial and ordinance law. That could never define sin. It is, of necessity, God's Law—the spiritual Law. Thus about A.D. 90, the apostle John established the fact that God's Law was not, in that late day, abolished—for an abolished law could never be the definition of sin!

In A.D. 56, Paul made it clear that the definition of sin is the transgression of the Law. In Romans 4:15, he says, "Where no law is there is no transgression," and he amplifies this in the 7th chapter, 7th verse, "Nay, I had not known sin, but by the law: for I had not known lust except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet."

Here Paul makes clear WHICH law defines sin. It is the Ten Commandments—the Law which includes "Thou shalt not covet."

Paul could not have written that, had the Law been abolished. And certainly he did not consider it abolished when he wrote, in the 12th verse of the chapter above quoted, "Wherefore the law is holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good." And the idea that the Ten Commandments were abolished is emphatically rejected in Romans 3:31—written in A.D. 56, long after the crucifixion!—"DO WE THEN MAKE VOID THE LAW THROUGH FAITH? GOD FORBID: YEA, WE ESTABLISH THE LAW."

In Romans 8:4, Paul, in making it plain that the New Testament church is to fulfill the righteousness of the law—that is, right doing ordained by the law—says: "That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the spirit." It is THROUGH the spirit that Christians are to observe the law, and not through Mosaic ordinances, which were abolished.

Still later, in A.D. 60, writing to the

Ephesians, Paul said (Eph. 6:1-3), "Children, obey your parents in the Lord: for this is right. Honor thy father and mother; which is the first commandment with promise; that it may be well with thee, and thou mayest live long on the earth." Thus another of the Commandments is named, preached, and represented as offering a promise if observed, by the apostle Paul in A.D. 60. Paul could not have written that had the Law been abolished.

That ought to establish the fact that the apostles did not abolish the Ten Commandments, or understand them to be abolished. But, on the other hand, the apostles did understand that the typical rituals—the physical "works" of the law of Moses—were no longer necessary!

Now let us examine one special passage, to see whether it contradicts those already quoted.

We will examine Acts 15:23-24. It says, "The apostles and elders and brethren send greeting unto the brethren which are of the Gentiles in Antioch and Syria and Cilicia: Forasmuch as we have heard that certain which went out from us have troubled you with words, subverting your souls, saying, Ye must be circumcised and keep the law: to whom we gave no such commandment."

Certainly here is one law which was not in effect. But WHICH law? Why, as the passage says, the law of physical ordinances—the law which included *circumcision*! The 22nd verse indicates the message quoted was written by Paul and Barnabas, and it was written in A.D. 49 — years before its co-author, Paul, distinctly wrote that the Ten Commandment Law was NOT void.

Certainly the Mosaic laws of ordinances and sacrifices were nailed to the cross and abolished. The reason for this is quite evident. Christ's example, faith, sacrifice, and the Holy Spirit, gave us a far superior aid and help and atonement. There could be no further possible need of that law of ordinances and sacrifices.

That is certainly REASONABLE.

But can you think of any REASON under the sun for doing away with the Law which defines sin—the Law which establishes our relation to God and to our fellow-men? Can you think of any sane reason for abolishing the Law which says "Thou shalt have no other Gods before me"—"Thou shalt not kill"—"Thou shalt not commit adultery"—"Thou shalt not steal"—"Thou shalt not covet?" Are THOSE laws out-of-date, obsolete, unnecessary?

No, there is no sane REASON for abolishing them, and there is nowhere in the Bible a passage of Scripture which clearly says THOSE laws were abolished. Not a single passage that names a single Commandment, or anything associated with one of the Commandments, says that the spiritual Law was abolished.

The laws abolished were the carnal, physical laws associated with sacrifices and offerings which were reminders of sin to teach the habit of obedience. Now we have the *Spirit of God* to enable us to form the habit of obedience. As Paul said: "For not the hearers of the law are just before God, but the DOERS OF THE LAW shall be justified" (Rom. 2:13). Salvation, like national citizenship, is a free gift, BUT IT GOES ONLY TO THOSE WHO ARE WILLING TO BE LAW-ABIDING MEMBERS OF THE KINGDOM OF GOD.

## HOW YOUR PLAIN TRUTH SUBSCRIPTION HAS BEEN PAID

So many ask: "HOW does it happen that I find my subscription price for The PLAIN TRUTH has already been paid? How can you publish such a high class magazine without advertising revenue?"

The answer is as simple as it is astonishing! It is a paradox. Christ's Gospel cannot be sold like merchandise. You cannot buy salvation. Yet it does cost money to publish Christ's TRUTH and mail it to all continents on earth. It does have to be paid for! This is Christ's work. We solve this problem Christ's WAY!

Jesus said, "This Gospel of the Kingdom shall be preached (and published—Mark 13:10) in all the world for a witness unto all nations" (Mat. 24:14) at this time, just before the end of this age. A PRICE must be paid for the magazine, the broadcast, the Correspondence Course, or other literature. But HOW? Christ forbids us to sell it to those who receive it: "Freely ye have received," said Jesus to His disciples who He was sending to proclaim His Gospel, "freely GIVE!" "It is more blessed," He said, "to GIVE than to receive."

Gods WAY is the way of LOVE—and that is the way of giving. God expects every child of His to give free-will offerings and to tithe, as His means of paying the costs of carrying His Gospel to others. We, therefore, simply trust our Lord Jesus Christ to lay it on the minds and hearts of His followers to give generously, thus paying the cost of putting the precious Gospel TRUTH in the hands of others. Yet it must go only to those who ask for it for themselves! Each must, for himself, subscribe—and his subscription has thus already been paid.

Thus the living dynamic Christ Himself enables us to broadcast, world-wide, without ever asking for contributions over the air; to enroll many thousands in the Ambassador College Bible Correspondence Course with full tuition cost already paid; to send your PLAIN TRUTH on an already paid basis. God's way is GOOD!

# Just How Important is Water Baptism?

*Is water baptism a COMMAND of Jesus Christ? What if you have been "sprinkled" or "poured"? How VITAL is baptism in this SPACE AGE?*

by Roderick C. Meredith

**M**OST professing Christians have never really PROVED to themselves whether or not God really exists. They lack *faith* in the realization that God is right now sitting at the CONTROLS of this entire universe.

Actually, therefore, they lack faith in the *authority* of the Bible as the inspired Word of Almighty God.

But if you don't believe in a REAL GOD—then *where are you headed?* Left to himself, man is about to blow himself off this planet!

Speaking of our present world situation, the renowned historian, Professor Samuel Elliott Morrison, said: "*We have got to get used to living in crisis after crisis, hoping for the best, but EXPECTING THE WORST.*"

## The Solution

The only real solution to this dilemma is to *prove to yourself* the REALITY of the LIVING GOD who controls this universe, and come to UNDERSTAND His great PURPOSE.

For thousands of you, The PLAIN TRUTH magazine and The *World Tomorrow* broadcast have helped provide the *tools*, the *prod* and the *inspiration* to do this. You have now begun to prove that *the God of the Bible* is a REAL God. And you have come to understand that God is working out a supreme PURPOSE here below—dealing *directly* with nations and with individuals.

But many of you have gone *about as far as you can go without being baptized.*

That's RIGHT!

The true God will continue to give you more light only as long as you WALK IN the light you have already received. You have *your part* to do in this process! And you definitely need *God's Holy Spirit* to help you.

In this age above all others, you need to check up and be SURE that you have truly been *converted*, that you have been *properly baptized*, and that you have God's SPIRIT to guide, bless and protect you. You need to be SURE about these most important of all matters!

The religious ideas and teachings of men are varied and confused. Even the great denominations have repeatedly *changed* their doctrines from time to time. But the teaching of the Bible is *consistent* and *unchanging*.

## The True WAY of Salvation

The true servants of God in all ages have written and taught the same basic way of *obedience* to God. "Thy WORD is *truth*," Jesus said (John 17:17). And again He said, "The Scripture cannot be broken" (John 10:35). *God does not contradict Himself in His inspired Word.* We should always bear this in mind in studying the Bible.

So Jesus continued to teach the same truths all through His ministry, and the apostles preached the *same Gospel*—not something different.

A young man once asked Jesus, "Good Master, what good thing shall I do, that I may have eternal life?"

Jesus answered, "Why callest thou me good? there is none good but one, that is, God: but if thou wilt enter into life, *keep the commandments*" (Matt. 19:16-17).

This is the *way* Jesus taught would lead to eternal life. He said nothing about a meaningless ceremony of "joining" a church and "accepting" Him as Saviour. He taught that if He is to be your Lord you have to OBEY Him.

*Did Jesus know what He was talking about?*

Many organizations of men seem to think that He didn't, for they have substituted a dead faith and belief in His

*person* but deny the WAY of salvation which He taught!

The apostles of Christ continued preaching the *same* message Jesus did. Before His ascension to heaven, He commanded them to go forth and instruct all nations, "Teaching them to observe ALL THINGS *whatsoever I have commanded you*" (Matt. 28:19-20).

## Only ONE MESSAGE in the New Testament

The Apostle Paul taught the *same way of life* that Jesus did, and he recognized that way as being what "Christianity" is! He speaks of having formerly persecuted the Christians as follows: "And I persecuted *this WAY* unto the death, binding and delivering into prisons both men and women" (Acts 22:4).

In Paul's day, Christianity was recognized as a *way of life*. Of exactly *what* does this WAY OF LIFE consist?

The Apostle Peter answers: "For even hereunto were ye called: because Christ also suffered for us, *leaving us an example, that ye should follow his steps*: Who did no sin, neither was guile found in his mouth" (I Pet. 2:21-22). Jesus gave up His own will and suffered for us. He did no sin. He set us an *example* that we should follow His steps. This, Peter says, is our "calling."

In Galatians 2:20, Paul explains this even further: "I am crucified with Christ," he writes, "nevertheless I live; yet not I, but *Christ liveth in me*: and the life which I now live in the flesh I live by the faith of the Son of God, who loved me, and gave himself for me."

Could anything be plainer?

Paul wrote that he had crucified his own will, and that CHRIST was living in him—totally directing his life. He was not only living by faith *in*, but by the very faith *OF*, the Son of God.

No human being can naturally and

normally yield himself to obey God in his own strength.

### The WAY to be Saved

As Paul explains, this is impossible, "Because the *carnal mind is enmity against God*: for it is not subject to the LAW of God, neither indeed can be. So then they that are in the flesh cannot please God. But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. *Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his*" (Rom. 8:7-9).

We must have Christ's Spirit within us—guiding our every thought and action—to be recognized as His. How may we receive God's Spirit?

When God's Church first began on the Day of Pentecost, Peter gave the answer to this question. "REPENT, and BE BAPTIZED every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Spirit" (Acts 2:38).

We have to realize our own ways are wrong—that they have brought on the war, the suffering, and all the human WOE and MISERY we see in this world—and we must be willing to REPENT and completely *turn away* from our former ideas and way of life, and let God actually RULE and CONTROL our daily lives. Then we should *be baptized*, which Paul explains in Romans 6:1-6 is the outward sign of our willingness to completely BURY our old self in a watery grave. Then we are to come up and walk in *newness of life*—following Jesus' example.

When we do this, we are *promised* the "gift" of God's Holy Spirit to guide us in following and *obeying* Jesus Christ—our *real* Lord and MASTER whom we serve and obey in actual fact!

God's Spirit is a part of His very CHARACTER placed within us to enable us to live as we should. Paul explains that, "the *love of God* is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Spirit which is given unto us" (Rom. 5:5).

There are many *human ideas* about how we should express this love every Christian must have. Most professing Christians seem to think that it is a sentimental *emotion* about the person of Christ, or a sanctimonious attitude with lots of religious-sounding talk in front of our fellow men.

But, in His inspired Word, God tells us what this divine LOVE *really is*.

"For this is the *love of God*, that we KEEP HIS COMMANDMENTS: *and his commandments are not grievous*" (I John 5:3).

Yes, God's love will always lead us to OBEY Him, to follow Jesus' literal example, and thus to be a *real Christian*.

Perhaps the best definition of a "Christian" contained in any one verse of the Bible is found in I John 2:6. The Weymouth Translation makes the intended meaning more clear than the older King James wording. It reads: "He who says that he abides in him is *bound to live AS HE LIVED*."

*How many of today's professing "Christians" feel BOUND to live exactly as Jesus Christ did—to literally keep the Ten Commandments as He did, to refrain from killing, stealing, lying and to keep HOLY the same days that Jesus did—and, through the Holy Spirit, to literally let Him LIVE HIS LIFE all over in them as human instruments?*

### BAPTISM and TOTAL SURRENDER Are Absolutely Necessary

The complete, *unconditional surrender* of one's self to God and to Christ as Saviour and Master is the key to real conversion.

If *you* would really be a Christian—examine yourself. Have you come to the place where you BELIEVE in the Creator God and in the Bible as His Word—His inspired revelation to man? Are you willing to totally SURRENDER your will to OBEY His Word—to *study it honestly, zealously, and to live by it?*

Are you ready to actually GIVE your life to Christ—yielding *completely, willingly*, so that He may *live His life* in you through the Holy Spirit? Are you willing to let God *fashion and mold you* after His own divine CHARACTER—that He may give you *eternal life* in the resurrection and a place of RULE over cities and nations under Jesus Christ in the *world tomorrow?*

Are you willing to quit arguing and reasoning with your Maker and say, "Yes, Lord, *THY will be done?*"

If you sincerely feel this way, you should *be baptized—immersed in water*—as an outward sign of your willingness

to completely BURY the old self in full surrender to Christ. "Sprinkling" or "pouring" do not picture this burial. Christ taught *water baptism* and so did all the apostles in the inspired New Testament Church.

If you are in doubt about this point, or if you want more information about the necessity and meaning of water baptism, write *today* for Mr. Armstrong's *free* booklet on "*Water Baptism*."

To really be *Christians*, we need to STUDY the Bible as most of us never have before, noticing especially the *example and teaching* of Christ. We need to REPENT of our ways and surrender our lives to God in BAPTISM that we may receive His Spirit to guide us.

### Take Action on God's TRUTH

Jesus Christ commands us as His true servants: "Go ye into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature. He that *believeth* and is BAPTIZED shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned" (Mark 16:15-16).

If you feel that this is the *very work of God*—preaching Christ's message as a *witness* to this dying world—perhaps you would like to write us about being baptized. Each summer we send out teams of ministers or advanced, competent ministerial students from Ambassador College to baptize people all over the world who request it. These dedicated men go out as Mr. Armstrong's *personal representatives*—and they will be able to answer any questions you have about your spiritual life, and to help you immensely in every way.

So if you would like to make the decision to give your very LIFE to God, send us your name and address today and request to be put on our baptizing list *immediately*. These teams will be starting out on the summer's tours soon after you receive this article, so get in your request *air mail* if you wish to be visited this summer.

Those of you in the United States should write immediately to Mr. Herbert W. Armstrong, Box 111, Pasadena, California, requesting baptism. You readers in Britain and Europe should write to our London address, and those in Australia, New Zealand and Southeast Asia should write to our North Sydney address.

## THE BIBLE ANSWERS

*Short Questions*

## FROM OUR READERS

"I believe that Mr. Meredith was dead wrong in recommending physical sports to build up health in a recent article in the PLAIN TRUTH magazine. Surely no one can deny that car-, horse-, and dog-racing, baseball, basketball, etc., are sin in a big way! Look at the thousands of people who make a god out of sports—especially the young people. I believe you are very wrong, and would like to know how you think you can please God by recommending something as sinful as sports?"

To this indignant reader we say that nowhere did we or shall we ever recommend car-racing, dog-racing, etc., as the kind of sports to build up health!

In the first place, The article in question (*The Plain Truth About Queer Men*, Jan. 1962) did *not* promiscuously recommend ALL sports. The sports recommended were *those that result in exercise for the individual participating in them*. These sports were recommended for the specific purpose of EXERCISE to keep the body in good health. God says that our physical bodies are the temples of the Holy Spirit (I Cor. 6:19). Because of this, it behooves each one of us to keep our bodies in *top physical condition*.

Before the days of mechanization as we know it today, it was often unnecessary to exercise in addition to working—the work WAS exercise. But now, especially for those who live in cities and do a sedentary type work, exercise through sports is almost a necessity for many to keep their bodies in health.

Mr. Meredith was NOT recommending car-, horse-, and dog-racing or baseball and basketball where *you are a spectator* and sit and watch *someone else play!* The exercise and sports he was recommending were the ones where *YOU are the participant* and where *YOU are the one who is doing*

*the playing*—not paying to watch someone else do it, although this need not necessarily always be wrong.

Sports for the purpose of *relaxation* and *exercise* are good. God says through Paul, "Bodily exercise profiteth for a little time"—this is the correct reading—(I Tim. 4:8). It is true that it profits for but a *little time* when compared to eternity, but it DOES PROFIT.

But in sports, as in every other thing that God created to be properly used, there is also a WRONG use, as well as a right use. Man often makes the mistake of applying a *wrong use* to things that would be all right of themselves if properly used.

Many people *do misuse* sports and place too great an emphasis on them, thereby making them a god which comes before the true God. This attitude and use of sports breaks the commandment: "Thou shalt have no other gods before me" (Ex. 20:3); and it is SIN. But does the wrong, *sinful* use of sports make the *right* use of sports sin? Of course not! Anything can be put to a *wrong use!* Most sickness and disease is caused by poor diet and the wrong use of food. Our hospitals are bulging with people who have not had the self-discipline or knowledge to eat properly. Wrong eating causes far greater misery and suffering than sports will ever cause. But does this make EATING wrong? Let's not be ridiculous!

Let's clean up our minds. Let's keep healthy—spiritually, mentally and physically!

"While reading your article titled, 'When Was Christ Born?' I saw that you stated He was born in the early autumn. My Bible says in Luke 1:26 that the angel appeared to Mary in the 'sixth month.' Now if Mary conceived in the sixth month of the year, then by adding

nine months to that, Christ couldn't have been born in the autumn! Would you please explain this?"

The account of the angel appearing to Mary is found in no other place than Luke 1, beginning with verse 24.

First, notice the one thing the questioner probably unintentionally *assumed*: By picking the verse out of its context he assumed that it is speaking of the sixth month *of the year!* But is it? *What* sixth month is this talking about? The sixth month of the year, or the sixth month of Elizabeth's pregnancy?

To find out the answer, we want to read verse 26 in the context of the chapter!

This first chapter is dealing with the begetting and birth of John the Baptist. In verses 11-13 an angel appeared to Zacharias, a priest in the temple at the time, and told him that his wife Elizabeth would bear him a son. Continuing the story we find that Zacharias was unable to speak until all the things the angel had said were to be fulfilled (vs. 20). He continued with his duties in the temple, and as soon as his days of ministration were completed (in June) he returned to his own home (vs. 23).

Exactly as the angel had told Zacharias, his wife conceived. She then hid herself *for five months* (vs. 24).

Notice, the entire story thus far has been about Zacharias and his wife, and *her pregnancy*.

Now verse 26. The angel Gabriel was sent to Mary in the "sixth month." The "sixth month" of *what?*

To answer this go back to verse 24 which says, "she hid herself *five months*," and then continuing right on, "*in the sixth month* Gabriel was sent from God." This is still the same story thread, and the only months spoken of are those of Elizabeth's *pregnancy!* It is the *sixth month of Elizabeth's pregnancy* when Gabriel appeared to Mary! There is no other time spoken of here but that of the months of her pregnancy.

Notice what the angel told Mary in verse 36, "And behold thy cousin Elizabeth, she hath also conceived a son in her old age: and THIS IS THE SIXTH MONTH WITH HER, who was called barren." Right there is positive proof that God is speaking of the sixth month

"with her"—of Elizabeth's pregnancy!

Continuing the story flow, we see that Mary went and abode with Elizabeth, after the angel spoke to her, "about *three months*" (vs. 56). This is about December to March. "Now Elizabeth's time (6 months + 3 months) came that she should be delivered; and she brought forth a son" (vs. 56). Thus John was born in the beginning of spring and Jesus was born six months later.

When those verses are read in their proper context, it becomes clear that this is *not* the sixth month of the year at all. Now reread the article "When Was Christ Born?" and you will see that since Mary conceived about December, Jesus was born at the beginning of autumn, about September!

### Should a Minister be called "Reverend?"

Some readers continue to write Mr. Armstrong and staff members, addressing them as "Reverend." THIS SHOULD NOT BE! You need to know how and when it became the custom to give ministers this title.

The word "reverend" is applied only to God in the Bible—it is *not once* applied to man. In Psalm 111:9 we read: ". . . [He] God has commanded his covenant forever; holy and REVEREND is HIS NAME." Another translation renders it: "Holy and awful"—that is, "full of awe," "worthy of worship"—"is his name."

God alone has a *name* which may properly be addressed as "Reverend." No man will have such a name until born again in the resurrection. No minister has a name which is worthy of reverence or worship.

You cannot find one place in all the New Testament where Paul, Peter, James, John—or any other minister—were ever addressed as "Reverend." If we follow the Bible example—which we are commanded to do—then we ought not ever use the title "Reverend" for any minister. (See also Mat. 23:9.) Since many ministers of this world's religions would take offense were you not to give them some title, you may properly address them as "Pastor," or "Elder," or "Evangelist," or "Bishop," depending on

what office they hold. These are proper titles of office given in the New Testament. They may be applied—out of respect—to ministers, whether or not those ministers are serving the true God.

The use of titles such as "Reverend" began when the great apostasy set in the Church at the close of the first century. Ministers put themselves "*in the place of Christ.*" Hence they took upon themselves the attributes and titles of divinity. God's ministers have never done so.

## Children Be Seen and Not Heard?

(Continued from page 16)

But don't go to the *opposite* extreme, and try to treat growing, strapping BIG boys and girls of early teens like LITTLE CHILDREN! Treat them JUST LIKE THEY ARE—as growing boys and girls in their teens, whose *bodies* are maturing, and whose MINDS still need a great deal of guidance and control!

No one needs to encourage a child to talk baby talk! But you certainly do *not*, in the beginning of his speech training, go to the *opposite* extreme, teaching him to talk like the head of the Supreme Court! Do NOT try to mould and shape your children merely for the sake of the vanity of the parents.

And what about play? Let's face it. Children who "play like grown-ups" will almost *always* begin their first experimenting in sex as a direct result of such type "play!" Children should NOT be permitted to play "house" or "doctor and nurse" or any other type game where they are building little makeshift houses, tents, or using garages, barns, or other enclosures—where they pretend to "be like daddy and mommy!" Teach your children to play healthful, sensible, out-of-doors games where weather and environment permit—such as kick ball, hide-and-peek, tag, and the dozens of games children invent among themselves!

Teach them they are NOT adults—and should not pretend to *be* adults! A great deal more will be said in a future number about play, including types of play, play areas, with whom children

ought to play, types of toys and other considerations. But for the sake of teaching children they are CHILDREN, encourage them to PLAY like children, and ACT like children—not pretend to live like adults.

Now let's notice a few more examples of HOW to teach your children some of the vitally necessary habits they should learn to really BE in their correct status as children.

I remember one occasion when my son Mark, who had behaved inconsiderately in the presence of guests, was taken to his room and placed in a chair. I placed him firmly in the chair, knelt down and told him "Mark! You are to sit in this chair and not move until I speak to you—is that clear?" "Yes, sir!" he answered. I retired to the living room, and we continued our visiting. However, *I forgot all about Mark, until over TWO HOURS LATER!* I was deeply ashamed of having forgotten him, and, suddenly remembering I had not yet given him permission to move from the chair, rose hurriedly and went into his room. There he was—curled up in the easy chair—*sound asleep!* He had STAYED in that chair—and *gone to sleep*—because he had not YET heard permission from me that he could arise.

One major pitfall with this particular habit which needs to be taught young children is this: Many parents attempt to enforce such a habit ONLY when friends are visiting, or when in a public place. Parents try to get a child to sit still in church, for instance, who was NEVER made to sit still for any period of time during the other six days of the week! One problem many parents seem to face is that of having children who increasingly "act up" and put on their "very worst" only when GUESTS are present, or when they are in a *public* place!

Let's analyze this situation. It is entirely too late, at this juncture, to have a quiet and pleasant *solution*. Should you, then, in order to avoid embarrassment, let your child get away with his display of insolence? *Certainly not!* You should take him immediately to his own room, apply a firm spanking and put him to bed! Or, do whatever the circumstances warrant.

Notice what *really* lies behind such

actions of a disobedient child.

"Where did the child get the idea he could do anything he pleased when discipline was hard to enforce? Search into the past and you will see. Extensive observation has shown me that parents who invariably cannot control their children on special occasions never REALLY control them at any time!" (Emphasis ours. *As the Twig Is Bent*, p. 38, Hohman.)

Any parent who is making a constant display of spanking his children in public, in a restaurant, or in church, while attempting to get the child to be quiet and sit still is merely advertising that the child has NEVER been taught to do these things at home. Teach your child to sit still at various times during the day for periods of five to ten minutes, or even longer! On occasion, have your child *sit still*, allowing him to look at a picture book, or color, or some similar pursuit, for as long as an hour or longer! In this way, you can begin to instill a vitally important habit in your child at a very early age.

### Teach Your Child To Be Quiet

There are all sorts of the "Grandparent" type of excuses for a child NOT obeying his parents in sitting still. Young parents are told their children just "can't" be made to SIT STILL for long periods of time—that their little bodies are filled with *energy*, that they must fidget, squirm, change positions, jump and run almost constantly!

What a pity! Dog owners can train a lowly dog to sit or stand RIGIDLY erect for show purposes, or on point over birds, but INFINITELY more intelligent HUMANS can't be so trained! Don't you believe it! Children *can* and SHOULD be trained how to SIT STILL in certain circumstances. Begin to TEACH THEM HOW at home!

No child should be taught to be quiet *all the time!* Any child should have an opportunity to yell, to make various child-like noises, to laugh and to play *boisterously* with other children! However, unless you have taken the pains to teach your child to sit still and BE QUIET *in the house* on occasions BEFORE important guests come, or BEFORE you go to a restaurant to eat, or BEFORE you take the child to church—how can

you expect him to learn the *first time* in such circumstances?

Such teaching takes concentration and real *attention to duty* on the part of the parent. The parent cannot give the child a command, and then dismiss the child and the circumstance from his mind—going on about his own pursuits. On many occasions, I have seen similar circumstances develop where parents will give the child a command to sit still and be quiet. However, because guests are present or the parent is watching an interesting TV show, or has his mind on other things, he soon *forgets* what he told his child to do—and the child, willing to "try out" his parents to the absolute *limit of their endurance*—has long since gotten down from his chair and is now just as noisy, if not noisier, than he was before!

Teaching children should *not* merely be "keeping them out of your hair," "getting them out of your way," or "keeping them occupied!" Too many parents today, who, true to form as God has outlined in His Word, are "lovers of pleasure more than lovers of God," seem to regard their children as little "house-apes" or a "ball and chain."

In order to teach your child *any* of these constructive habits—you will need to apply constant *diligence* and never-failing attention to duty! You simply CANNOT expect to have decent results if you just give your child instructions, and then *forget* all about the lesson—letting the child get down from the chair when *he* decides—put up his toys when *he* gets around to it—or begin to talk again when you have told him to be quiet.

### Don't Be a Liar to Your Child

If you tell your child you are going to spank, deprive him of privileges, or punish him in some other way for infraction of the rules—*carry out* your promise!

How can your child ever learn to trust *anything* you say—if you do not even carry out such simple promises? Surely, if you have promised your child a trip to the zoo, a picnic or an outing, or some type of a reward, the child is going to fully EXPECT you to be true to your word! In like fashion, if you have *promised* your child a spanking

or other due punishment as the result of an infraction, ALWAYS be faithful to your word—and carry it out!

For example: Father puts little Johnnie in a chair when guests are present and says, "Johnnie—sit still, and do not speak again or I will spank you!" After a few moments of conversation, the parent happens to notice that Johnnie is busy talking or making other noises. He looks at Johnnie warningly, with a ferocious scowl! Johnnie notices the look, and his talking or noisemaking subsides to a surprised whisper—and gradually diminishes altogether. The parent goes on talking with the guests, feeling the situation has been met, and that Johnnie has been silenced again. However, he is going to notice more and more frequent infractions—until, finally, he will have no control *WHATSOEVER* over Johnnie—unless he is *true to his word*—and ALWAYS spansks when he has *promised* such spanking!

There are thousands of parents today who "kid themselves" they are doing a *perfectly wonderful* job of childrearing! And yet, they almost NEVER tell their children only *once*—they almost NEVER spank their children after only *one* infraction—they almost NEVER follow up their instructions, carry out what they say or teach their children positive habits of obedience. To be sure, they DO spank their children. They *do* give their children plenty of orders and commands. But their *inconsistencies*, their *broken promises*, their simple *neglect* of their children is leading toward disaster.

In order to teach your child these basic RIGHT HABITS, you must do it *unfailingly, persistently, diligently, consistently*—or all your efforts will be of NO EFFECT!

SHOULD children actually be SEEN, and not heard? The surprising and perhaps "old-fashioned" answer is YES! They *should* be seen and not heard unless their elders *speak to them first*. They SHOULD be taught to be quiet, reserved, and respectful around their elders. They SHOULD be taught to sit QUIETLY and OBEDIENTLY in a restaurant, on a bus, on an airplane, or *WHENEVER TOLD* to do so!

TEACH your children these things. You'll be amazed at the results!

# The Real Origin of COMMUNISM!

*Communism was not born in Russia! It developed in the very same environment that begat Modernism. Read here the shocking parallel between them.*

by Lynn E. Torrance

**K**ARL MARX—like every human being—knew absolutely nothing when he was born. Whatever he learned, he was taught. How did he come to be an atheist? He was taught it in school—in the universities of Germany!

Marx took for granted what his teachers funneled into his mind. He wanted to believe it. The same kind of German Rationalism that influenced Marx is rampant in our institutions of higher learning today. The theories that made Marx an atheist are making millions agnostics and skeptics today.

## Communism's Crude Beginning

The founder of Communism, Karl Marx, became exposed to Rationalism while attending the "hotbeds of atheism" the universities of Bonn, Berlin, and Jena.

He first became influenced at the University of Bonn by the followers of the German Rationalist philosopher, George Wilhelm Hegel. Hegel reasoned that *Christianity should be abolished from the earth!*

The demented teachings of Strauss next took hold of Marx's mind. When Strauss wrote his blasphemous claim that the only thing in the Gospels that was not a myth was the death of Christ, Marx uncritically swallowed this lie.

Marx then went on to accept Ludwig Feurbach's teaching, the now-common belief, that man is the highest form of intelligence in the entire universe, that there was no God, that man was all the god there is (Henri de Lubac, *Atheist Humanist*, p. 16). Marx wholeheartedly accepted ANYTHING his atheistic superiors taught him.

Just exactly like the Modernists of today, Marx was the victim of his environment. He believed what his athe-

istic professors taught him. People tend to BELIEVE whatever ideas are funneled into their unthinking minds!

Marx's best friend, Bruno Bauer, led him to accept the lie that the Gospels were forgeries, that Jesus had never existed, that Christ was a figment of the imagination of the early Christians, and that Christianity was a fraud.

Is it any wonder that Dr. Karl Marx announced that his goal in life was "TO DETHRONE GOD . . ." (Henri de Lubac, *Atheist Humanist*, p. 20.)

The Almighty Creator God labels this man, as well as all like him, for what he is. God has said, "The FOOL hath said in his heart, there is no God. They are *corrupt, they have done abominable works*" (Ps. 14:1).

Marx, just as Modernists still do, rationalized himself into rebelling against the idea of a Supreme God in heaven who had the right as Ruler and Creator of the universe to COMMAND him what to do.

At first Marx may have been deliberately lying. However that may be, he actually began to believe his own lies. He began to replace God with SELF by rationalizing that he was all the god there was.

Marx denounced God, the Scriptures, morals, eternal judgment, the existence of the Spirit, and the sanctity of individual life.

Marx believed that since man is supposed to be the highest intelligence in existence, it was the duty of the most intelligent (himself) to remake the world. He believed that man was nothing but a beast and that human life was not more sacred than that of a centipede, or a pig. Naturally, Marx believed it was his inescapable responsibility to remake the world since he and his associates were the only ones who



Karl Marx with his oldest daughter Jenny.

had a truly scientific understanding that there was no God, no—none but himself.

He then ridiculed and rationalized away all the things which he opposed by pronouncing them "unscientific." He attacked the Bible, he called himself a higher critic, he attempted to explain the Bible away.

Marx taught that the remaking of the world to suit himself would have to be a cruel and ruthless task—it would involve the *destruction of all who stood in the way!* Marx was particularly em-

*(Please continue on page 40)*

# *The Bible Story*

by Basil Wolverton

## CHAPTER FORTY-THREE

### ON TO CANAAN AGAIN!

**G**OD SPOKE to Aaron once again during those trying 38 years of wandering. This time it was to remind him of several very important matters. One was the subject of tithing.

#### God Explains Tithing

A tithe is a tenth part of anything, especially the tenth of one's increase, whether it be in wage income, livestock or crops. A tenth part of anyone's increase belongs to God. God uses it for His work. In Old Testament times the Levites did His physical work. So God paid them for their work by His tithes. This tithe, which is actually God's, became the only inheritance of the Levites, inasmuch as they were not to own farming land on which to earn an income. They were to live and carry on God's work with this tenth, and in turn were to tithe a tenth of what they received from God by giving it to Aaron's family, which held the high priesthood. (Numbers 18: 8-32.)

This was the simple but effective system God gave to the Israelites for financing God's physical work and all things that had to do with the tabernacle. Today the tithe still belongs to God and He uses it for His work today—the preaching of the gospel. This doesn't mean that present-day organizations falsely calling themselves Christian are to receive our tithes. They are not connected with God or the true Church. God's spiritual work of preaching the gospel has replaced the physical duties of the Levites and tithes are to go only to those who represent it.

Ordinarily it would be a simple matter to figure what a tenth of money wages

would be. But some might wonder how one whose increase was only a sheep would give a tenth of a sheep, or how one who had only a small garden would give a tenth of his crop. The answer is that the value of the sheep was determined and a tithe or tenth of the value of the sheep was paid to God.

### Tithing Is for Our Good

So often, when the subject of tithing is brought up in these times, the same remark is heard: "If I gave a tenth of my income, my family would starve!"

People who carelessly make this remark do not realize that just the opposite is true. Perhaps most people don't realize or appreciate that everything they think they possess is not really theirs. It is God's. God merely allows them to use or enjoy it for a while. When we stop to consider this fact, isn't it plain that the Creator is quite generous in requiring that we turn back only a tenth for financing His work?

The tithing law was not instituted for God's benefit. He owns the world and everything in it. (Psalm 24:1 and 50:10.) God gave the tithing law for our good. Our responsibility for handling some of God's money as His stewards helps us to learn to love others and enjoy *giving*. This develops in us God's type of character and trains us for eternal life's true riches. (Luke 16:1-11.)

To add to His generosity, God has made a sacred promise that He will increase our material wealth if only we are faithful in paying Him what we owe. (Malachi 3:10-11.) Can you imagine one person telling another that if he will pay what he owes that the creditor will see to it that the debtor will receive a large financial reward? That's what God has told us, in so many words. Where can one find a better deal than that?

What it all amounts to is that *no one can afford not to tithe!* God has told us that if we don't tithe we are robbing Him. If we are robbing God—and millions of people are doing just that in our own nations—we can have no part in the financial blessing that God has decreed for those who are faithful in tithes.

This doesn't mean that others may not temporarily prosper who want to have no part of God and His laws. God is allowing many of them to have the good things only in this life—the only life some of them will ever have. Surely no wise person would want to be in the position of such people. It is far better to prosper in this life by God's special blessing—*plus* living forever by the gift of eternal life in surroundings and circumstances that would show worldly millionaires' lives to be dull and miserable!

Have you ever noticed that some religious organizations who don't believe in obeying God are often in such desperate need that they are forced to promote the principle of tithing. They use all sorts of arguments and ideas as to why people should tithe, but why they don't need to keep the Ten Commandments. In most cases these arguments

carefully avoid any mention of tithes as referred to in the Old Testament. There is seldom any reference to the reason why God established the tithe and when. That is because there is an increasing disbelief in the Old Testament. Yet they need money—and that is why they claim to teach tithing.

Those who claim that the Ten Commandments were cancelled by Christ's death and are no longer in force can hardly be expected to point out that God *commanded* that a tenth of everyone's increase should go to God's servants. If they did, that would contradict their teaching against God's Ten Commandments.

God is the Author of tithing. It began long before the time of Moses. Abraham and Jacob paid tithes long before Moses' time. (Genesis 14:18-20; Hebrews 7:4-10; Genesis 28:20-22.)


Many people who believe in giving a tenth of their increase make a practice of giving it to their favorite charities or needy families. Giving to those in need is good, but that first tenth is to go to no one except God. (Malachi 3:10.) The only way that is possible is to give it to the true representatives of God—those who are in God's service in His work.

### On to Canaan

The next thirty-eight years after the Exodus were spent by the Israelites in wandering aimlessly and often miserably from place to place in the desert regions of the Sinai peninsula west of the Gulf of Aqaba. The Gulf of Aqaba is a finger of the Red Sea bordering the east side of the peninsula.

There is little record in the Bible pertaining to where they camped and what they did throughout most of this time until more than a generation later—when they started back to the northeast on the same route they had taken right after they left Egypt.


During those thirty-eight years people died by the thousands of thousands. A whole new nation had grown up. During these thirty-eight years God was causing the deaths of all those men who com-



plained when the scouts returned from searching Canaan. Only their children would be permitted to cross over Jordan into the Promised Land. (Deuteronomy 1:35-39.) Several generations of livestock had long since died. Not all the older people had died since the Israelites had set out in their aimless wanderings, however. Some still living were Moses, Aaron, Miriam, Caleb and Joshua.

Once more, after a lapse of nearly four decades, the tremendous caravan of millions moved up to the city of Kadesh, the rose-red city of rock from which the twelve scouts had been sent north to get a good look at Canaan. It must have been a sobering thought to the people that they were still many miles from Canaan after plodding about for most of thirty-nine years and looping around and around over the same country for thousands of miles. But they couldn't rightly blame God for their misfortune. If they and those who had gone before had obeyed Him, they would have arrived in safety and prosperity in Canaan almost four decades sooner.

Miriam, the sister of Moses and Aaron, died right after Israel encamped at Kadesh the second time. (Numbers 20:1.) She was about one hundred and thirty years of age at her death, and was buried in a high cliff area of Kadesh sometimes referred to as Petra. This rugged region could be the place where Christians will be preserved during an Earth-searing war to come.



The vast caravan of over two million moved northward to come into the rocky area of Kadesh for the second time.

When Israel had stayed at Kadesh the first time, there was plenty of water. Conditions changed in thirty-eight years, however. Some of the springs had dried up. Others couldn't produce enough water to continue to provide for the vast needs of the Israelites and their livestock.

### Israelites Complain Again!

Shortly after Miriam's death the water shortage became so serious that a loud, complaining crowd gathered around the tents of Moses and Aaron.

"We want water! We want water! We want water!" they chanted over and over for hours. (Numbers 20:2.)

Moses and Aaron were accustomed to this sort of childish mob treatment. They hoped that the noisy crowd would tire and break up, but the situation grew worse. Fearing that violence might result, Moses asked Aaron to appear with him before the crowd.

When the people saw the two leaders standing before Moses' tent, they broke into such a loud roar of discontent that Moses couldn't make himself heard when he tried to address them. The roar finally died down, only to give way to loud accusations from leaders of the mob.

"Why have you dragged us here to die along with our livestock?" one man screamed. "We would have been spared great misery if we had died with our brethren who died in God's plagues years ago!"

"What is your reason," someone else yelled, "for stopping in this rocky, sandy waste where no grass nor vines nor trees grow, and where there is only enough water to make death more painful and lingering?" (Verses 3-5.)


The crowd was angrier than Moses had realized. Officers hovered around to quell any outbreak of violence, but it was plain that the officers wouldn't have been capable of managing the crowd if it were to break out in a rampage. There was only one thing to do. Moses seized Aaron's arm and, accompanied by loud jeers and hoots from the crowd, the two of them hurried to the tabernacle.

As soon as they entered the sacred tent, a light came from the inner room. It became brighter and brighter as Moses and Aaron bowed with their faces to the ground and made their problem known to God. (Verse 6.)

"Take the rod from here in the tabernacle and go with Aaron out to that high rock that is close to the camps," God told Moses. "Call for the people to gather there to witness what will happen. Then speak to the rock, commanding it in My Name to give forth water. After you have done this, plenty of water will come out of the rock. There will be more than enough to take care of the needs of all the people and their animals." (Verses 7-8.)

Moses took the rod—the one that had budded out to show that Aaron's family should retain the priesthood—and set out with Aaron. It wasn't difficult to attract a crowd. The murmuring mob was still milling about. It noisily followed Moses and Aaron, who were surrounded by a number of officers as they strode off to a certain tall rock that jutted up out of the sand close to the Israelites' camp.

"I have become weary of this mob foolishness over the years," Moses remarked to



Moses struck the rock with his staff, but no water came out. Some began to jeer.

Aaron. "Again the people have gone too far with their threats and demonstrations. It is time we show them again what great power can come through us!"

"I agree," Aaron answered, glancing uneasily at the mob that was closing clamorously in on them. "It would be wise to use the power through the rod more often to cause these trouble-makers to have more respect for us."

This was a wrong attitude on the part of Moses and Aaron. They should have been more concerned with showing *God's* power and causing the crowd to respect *Him*. Both men had been under more strain than usual because of the death of their sister and more complaints than usual from the people. As leaders, however, they were expected by God to exercise great control and wisdom under all circumstances.

This wrong attitude continued when Moses, standing with Aaron atop the rock God had indicated, looked down with disgust on the shouting crowd. He hoisted the famous rod as high as he could hold it until the people's shouting and shrieking died down.

### Moses Loses His Temper

"Listen to me, you rebels!" Moses shouted. "You have been whining and complaining about a shortage of water. Why do you complain when you know we have the power to give you water? Don't you know that we can cause this rock to open up and spew out all the water you need?" (Verse 10.)

The crowd became completely silent. Thousands upon thousands of eyes were focused on Moses as he stood there on the rock, plainly etched against the bright sky. The Israelite leader was in an increasingly bad mood as he thought of all the insolence and disobedience he had struggled through for years. Now he harbored a strong desire to once and for all stop their complaining by proving to them that he could, with the rod, perform any kind of miracle.


God had told Moses this time to speak to the rock, commanding it, through the power of the Creator, to give forth water. But instead of speaking to the rock, Moses spoke, unadvisedly and in anger, to the people. (Psalm 106:32-33.)

"You are only a howling mob undeserving of water!" Moses cried out. "Nevertheless, you shall receive it, if only to remind you that your demonstrations are childish!"

God had not instructed Moses to use the rod to strike the rock. It was to be carried by Moses and Aaron as a symbol of their Levitical authority in using God's tremendous power. But Moses drew the rod back over his head and brought it down sharply on the rock. The crowd gazed in expectant silence as long moments passed.

No water came out of the rock.

*(To be continued next issue)*



U.P.I.

Lenin accepted Marx's ideas about Communism just as Marx previously accepted ideas of the German Rationalists who taught him.

## The Real Origin of COMMUNISM

(Continued from page 32)

phatic that this revolution must be completely RUTHLESS to be successful world-wide.

In their book, *Communist Manifesto*, Marx and Engels admitted that critics of Communism could say that it "abolishes eternal truth, it abolishes all religion, and all morality, instead of constituting them on a new basis; it therefore acts in contradiction to all past historical experience" (from the *Communist Manifesto*, Authorized English Translation, p. 40).

Realize that it was not the abuse of religion which Marx and Engels sought to abolish, but the very existence of truth in any form.

### Rationalism Begat Russian Communism

But how did Marx's ideas spread to Russia?

In 1855, Andrew D. White, an attaché in the American Embassy at St. Petersburg, described the Russian government. He wrote, "The whole governmental system is the most atrociously barbarous in the world. There is on earth no parallel example of a polite society so degraded, a people so crushed,

an official system so unscrupulous" (White Collection, Cornell University, letter written at Berlin, November 9, 1855).

Life for the peasants was insecure, harsh and austere. A Russian serf seemed to be at the mercy of the petty whims of grasping officials in the Tsar's corrupt bureaucracy who could take his possessions, his children, sometimes his wife, or even his life, at will (Skousen, *The Naked Communist*, p. 89).

Marxism came to Russia in 1868 when Bakunin's translation of *Capital* was passed among the Russian liberals. For Russia it meant the kindling of a bright red flame. Russian revolutionary movements began to take shape by 1880.

When the Russian people began hearing how Communism was going to achieve world peace and prosperity, they began dividing into camps for or against Communism. The higher class intelligentsia of Russia eagerly accepted the revolutionary, lofty theories. The oppressed peasants of Russia were also eager to accept the new promises of peace and prosperity.

The influence of Marxism grew in Russia, not because Communism was

against so many things, but because the Communists falsely promised to satisfy humanity's greatest needs: peace and prosperity.

Marxism in the form of Oriental Russian Communism, denying God, life, ideals, standards, and highest values—captured an entire nation November 7 of 1917 (Langer, *An Encyclopedia of WORLD HISTORY*, p. 1029).

### Modern Communism

The first leader of the Russian Communists acknowledged that the mission of Karl Marx was to gain power through ideological warfare. "We must combat religion—this is the ABC of Marxism" (Lenin, *Religion*, vol. I., p. 14).

Lenin said, "We must hate—hatred is the basis of Communism. Children must be taught to hate their parents if they are not Communists."

Anatole Lunarcharsky, the former Russian Commissar of Education said, "We hate Christians and Christianity. Even the best of them must be considered our worst enemies. Christian love is an obstacle to the development of the revolution. Down with love of one's neighbor! What we want is hate . . . Only then will we conquer the universe!" (*U.S. Congressional Record*, vol. 77, pp. 1539-1540).

Therefore, Lenin said: "We say that our morality is wholly subordinated to the interests of the class struggle of the proletariat" (Lenin, p. 47).

In other words, whatever tends to bring about the spread of Communism is morally good, whatever does not is morally bad.

Note what the leader of the American Communists admitted, "With him (the Communist) the end justified the means. Whether his tactics be 'legal' or 'moral' or not, does not concern him, so long as they are effective" (William Z. Foster, *Syndicalism*, p. 9).

That is why Communism declared war against morals, ethics, and spiritual values among the people. Marx and his associates resolved to completely eliminate the worship of the Almighty among men. The German-Jewish Rationalist Heinrich Heine declared: "Our hearts are filled with compassion for it is . . . Jehovah Himself who is making ready to die" (*Revue Des Dues-Mondes*,

1834, volume 4, page 408).

"There can be no doubt about the fact that the new state of the U.S.S.R. is led by the Communist Party, with a program permeated by the spirit of militant atheism" (Varoslavsky, E., *Religion in the USSR*, p. 59).

This is the reason the Communists are violently antireligious. "One of the most important tasks of the cultural revolution affecting the wide masses is the task of systematically and unswervingly combating religion—the opium of the people" (*Program of the Communist International*, International Publishers, New York, 1936, p. 54).

A Communist has absolutely no standards of right and wrong. To the Communist it is not wrong to cheat, lie, violate oaths or even to destroy human life if it is for a good cause—the cause of making the world communistic.

Modern Russian Communism has replaced Christian morals with a complete absence of morals. This code of no morals accounts for the fact that the word of a Russian Communist leader is not worth the paper it is written on.


The newly deluded Communist feels he at last has discovered the secrets of the universe. In this state of mind the student will invite indoctrination in heavy doses. The Communist stooge will do anything his masters tell him to do.

#### Communism Infiltrates America

A terrible defect exists in the American educational system. Modernists are not teaching Americans the difference between obedience to God and disobedience, between faith and doubt, between order and chaos.

Our system of education is producing atheist materialists with an agnostic philosophy that is startlingly similar to that of the Communists. These students have been so injected with skepticism that when our college professors teach their students the Bible is an excellent history of the evolution of religion, that they don't have to obey God because man has made God up, that God may not exist, they take it for granted!

One professor actually told his class, "There is nothing watching over you—answering your prayers, or directing the human race toward some divine destiny. You young people are on your own"



Wide World Photo

Communism is funneled into minds of unsuspecting youth in Soviet schools. Here is a scene in a schoolroom in region of Yakutsk.

(Skousen, *The Naked Communist*, p. 301).

Our nation is mixing iron with clay. We are fighting for freedom but are allowing some of our boys and girls to grow up believing atheistic concepts so identical to Communist concepts that we are very seriously endangering the very freedom we purport to defend!

These deluded professors teach atheistic materialism because they themselves were taught to believe that it is true by their teachers. Those, in turn, were taught this same atheism by teachers who were taught by the same German Rationalists who taught the Communist, Karl Marx, his atheistic philosophy!

"Militant atheistic" Communism is one of the *most dangerous philosophies ever yet invented by man!* But soon God is going to intervene personally in human affairs and abolish atheism, Communism and its Modernist parallel.

Your Bible prophesies in the 38th and 39th chapters of Ezekiel that the Com-

munist nations of Asia are going to attack God's people Israel in Palestine shortly after the second coming of Christ. God reveals that He will bury the Communists. "And I will turn thee back, and leave but the sixth part of thee" (Ezek. 39:2).

Notice why God will destroy the Communist nations. "And I will set my glory among the heathen, and all the heathen shall see my judgment that I have executed, and my hand that I have laid upon them. So the house of Israel shall know that I am the LORD their God from that day forward" (Eze. 39:21-22).

The True God will then teach all nations that the FACT of His existence and His rule is the BEGINNING of all true political, scientific and spiritual knowledge. They will learn to experience not a wretched "Communist paradise" in a sordid Police-state system—but REAL paradise under the Government of God based on His Living Law of LOVE, JOY, and PEACE!

# MISSING LINK Found?

*British scientist claims new find in Kenya, Africa, is "missing link"—14 million years old. What DID the scientist really find? What is the REAL "missing link"?*

by Herman L. Hoeh

**A**CROSS the front page of many daily papers on March 23 was the screaming headline: "14 MILLION-YEAR-OLD MISSING LINK FOUND!"

And in fine type beneath we were told that British anthropologist Dr. L. S. B. Leakey and his wife unearthed *two halves of a palate and one lower tooth*. This—the newspapers assured their readers—was the "missing link."

But was it?

## Ape or Man?

The fragments, which Dr. Leakey held in the palm of his hand at an interview, were puzzling. The creature, whatever it was, "was not like present-day men or apes," according to the news reports. Dr. Leakey estimated the creature was "smaller and lighter than a chimpanzee."

Is it, then, really a "missing link," or is it only another hitherto unknown variety of ape?

Dr. Leakey's hypothesis is that millions of years ago the monkey family branched into two families, one of which became apes, the other man. But do these tiny, insignificant fragments really *prove* any such thing?

Let's think for a moment—let's ask ourselves how we come to know if a thing is *really proved*, and not merely an educated guess.

How do men of science—how do the intellectual—come to believe the *theories* they hold? Why, they are *taught* to believe them! They are taught to believe that truths are only relative—that theories and hypotheses are all anyone can hope to know. "Proof," to these minds, does not exist—because no one, they say, can *absolutely know!*

The foundation and philosophy of this world's education, and of its way of life, is an *unproved theory*—evolution. Any honest scientist will admit that neither creation nor evolution can be proved—for neither evolution nor

the physical creation of new matter is now occurring.

Most people who profess to believe the Bible never stop to consider that they have merely *assumed* the earth and life upon it were supernaturally created. They have never demonstrated it in a laboratory. They believe it on faith.

Similarly, evolutionists have not proved nor can they ever prove evolution to be any more than a "plausible hypothesis." Evolution is not occurring today. Not in all the world is there a single creature in process of developing into a different and superior kind. Anyone who accepts the theory of evolution does so on faith, never having demonstrated it. So-called "proofs" of evolution are no proofs at all! Fossils claimed to be "missing links" soon prove to be only extinct varieties of apes and are silently laid aside to make way for new supposed "links."

But let's consider how society has come to believe the things it does, and how our schools and colleges came to accept the theories they teach as fact. The record, too long suppressed, will startle you!

## An Old Theory Revived

For several generations evolution has been fashionable. *Almost without notice*, evolution has been transformed from a controversial scientific theory into the accepted basis of this world's way of life.

Here is what one evolutionist openly confesses:

"No opposition in present-day thinking is *sharper* than that between the supernaturalists who maintain that God has, by a series of special revelations and interventions in human affairs, moulded the course of history, and the *naturalists {evolutionists} who maintain that MAN HAS CREATED THE GODS IN HIS OWN IMAGE.*" (From *New Views*

*of Evolution*, by George Perrigo Conger, pp. 172, 173.)

Evolution is little more than a *deification of nature and of natural law*.

Few realize it, but evolution is not new. The greatest men of the heathen world rejected creation. They believed in a kind of evolution of the universe through the gods of nature. They recognized the awesomeness of the physical creation and in their deliberate ignorance gave it divine attributes. They refused to believe that it was the handiwork of a Supreme Mind and Power—of the Eternal Creator God.

Plato, Thales, Anaximander, Empedocles, Aristotle were all believers in various forms of evolution. Anaximander held that man came from fish. Aristotle had a substantially modern conception of evolution of all life from a primordial soft mass of living matter. Even Augustine and Thomas Aquinas, both theologians of the Catholic Church, were *theistic evolutionists*, combining certain doctrines of creation with the *heathen philosophy* of gradual natural development.

## Universe ASSUMED to Have Already Existed


Did you ever take note of the usual ASSUMPTION of evolutionists that the stuff of which the universe is composed ALREADY EXISTED?

Why are the ORIGINS OF THE UNIVERSE AND OF LIFE USUALLY NOT MENTIONED? There is a very good reason! Evolution can NOT account for the origin of life or the universe! By cleverly side stepping this basic consideration, *materialists hope to divert arguments* that would be *fatal* to their cause.

By dismissing this from their theory they hope to *evade* the NECESSITY OF CREATION. Some evolutionists, however, are candid enough to admit the weakness of their theory. "Evolution," says Mr. Conger, "is in the last analysis *not*



One of the assumptions of evolution is that human beings, in the process of development, acquired pointed chins. Fossil human remains without prominent chins are considered proofs of evolution. In the above sketch you see a human head with a receding chin. Far from representing a step in the development of Man, this portrait is of a living tribe in South Java.



Look at the above human head, with its heavy brow ridges, its protruding jaws. This carefully executed artist's sketch is not of some "fossil man." It is a modern Australoid from Tjaringin, Java! About 40 years ago the Dutch made a study of these primitive tribes and were dumbfounded to see "fossil types" living today! (After *The Races of Java*, by Dr. D. J. H. Nyëssen.)

a matter of evidence, but a matter of inference." "Let us then proclaim in precise and unmistakable language that our FAITH in evolution is unshaken" (pp. 91, 92).

Notice it!—assumption, not proof!

Once evolution was assumed as the inevitable conclusion, people continued to take it for granted as true. But careful scientific tests have since DISPROVED ALL THE ORIGINAL "PROOFS" OF EVOLUTION. They are unscientific, every one! And no new "proofs" have stood nor can stand the test of experiment.

"In other words," said Mr. Conger, page 92, "the evolutionists do not doubt for a moment that evolution has occurred; but when it comes to the question of just precisely *how* evolution occurs, they are at a loss to answer."

How very true!

Matter could not have organized itself into the great intricacies by chance without a Creator, any more than the watch can say to the watchmaker, "I made myself." Chance origin of matter is not possible because all matter behaves according to fixed law.

Men of science admit this. Sir James Jeans says: "Everything points with overwhelming force to a definite event, or series of events, of *creation* at some

time or times, not indefinitely remote. The universe can not have arisen by chance out of its present ingredients, and neither can it have always been the same as now."

Sir Oliver Lodge says further: "We cannot understand the existence either of ourselves or of an external world unless we postulate some kind of creation. Creation involves design and purpose and mental activity, and necessarily implies a Creator of some kind." He says further, "I claim that the material universe with its variously designed atoms, and the way they have been used in the construction of all objects, mineral, vegetable, and animal, that we see around us, is a sign also of gigantic design and purpose, and is a glorious work of art."

Science does not know any means by which the stuff that composes the world came into existence. But that does not prove anything. Science is merely *admitting* that it doesn't know. Scientists can never know if they deliberately confine themselves to material things only and reject the revelation of God which could provide them with the proper approach to further research.

Radioactivity long ago proved that the universe is degenerating—not evolving. There would be a scientific

contradiction unless, at some time in the past, matter was *created* and IS NOT ANY LONGER BEING BROUGHT INTO BEING. If evolution were true, matter should be evolving.

#### Spontaneous Generation?

Did life arise by spontaneous generation? By chance from highly complex chemicals? Is it scientific to believe that dead matter can give birth to that which is alive?

This is not a question to be evaded as do too many evolutionists! It is time to prove scientifically whether life could have evolved from dead matter without the intervention of a supernatural power to impart life to matter.

In one scientific textbook you can find six major theories listed concerning the origin of life. Last of all was a description of *creation* as a possible explanation for the origin of life. It was described as a "religious answer (that) *suggests* that life was created by an agent working *outside* the realms of matter and science."

Notice how subtly this definition is worded. Creation, wrote the author with tongue in cheek, merely *suggests* that life was created. And that act was done by an agent working *OUTSIDE*

scientific means. This text, which is very popular in schools, has so defined creation as to imply that it might be *unscientific* to hold such a view since the suggested agent worked "outside" the realms of matter.

For years scientists vehemently denied that they believed in the ancient unscientific pagan hypothesis of spontaneous generation. Yet, after being confronted again and again with no evidence except that of creation, many scientists today have come to believe in the unscientific idea of spontaneous generation!

To account for evolution, scientists have in the last few years been forced to resort to a hypothesis that has been disproved in scientific laboratories countless times! The Italian, Redi, proved that "life must arise from living organisms" and cannot arise spontaneously from dead matter. Louis Pasteur proved that even dormant micro-organisms in the air cannot arise through *abiogenesis* or spontaneous generation. In other words *biogenesis*—the amply proved *law* that life must come from life—is the only logical explanation. There is no more thoroughly demonstrated principle in the scientific world than that *it takes life to produce life*.

Then how can one account for life?  
*By the creation of living matter.*

The first bit of life could never have arisen from the warm ocean slime spontaneously, because *THERE ARE NO NATURAL LAWS THAT COULD PRODUCE LIFE FROM DEAD MATTER*. It takes life to impart life.

The Scripture reveals that *God has life within Himself, inherently*. (Acts 17:25; and John 5:26). God, of course, does not live by the same kind of limited life that animals have. He has eternal life in Himself. He imparts eternal life only to His children begotten by His life-giving Spirit. But God, by his infinite creative power, created matter and energy and imparted far less important mortal life to dead matter. This is *in accordance with the principle of scientific law* of which He is the Author. It takes *life* to produce life.

It took the *energy* of God, the *power* of God, to bring matter into being, just as it took the *living* God to impart mortal life to matter. There is nothing un-

scientific about these principles. Both these events are not now occurring in the universe. Creation of the *physical* universe is completed according to Genesis 2:11.

None of us should be afraid to see these questions put to the test. Whatever is found *proved* true, let us admit to *be* true.

### Putting Theories to the Test

New theories of men always develop which outmode older ones. There are many theories of science that have been discarded as unscientific, yet the strange fact remains that books, which children must read in school, are written with the ASSUMPTION THAT THESE DISPROVED THEORIES STILL HOLD TRUE.

Here is the historic record, admitted by evolutionist Professor More: "The pathway of science is literally strewn with the wreckage of cherished hypotheses."

Wreck number one is Darwin's theory of the origin of species by natural selection. Accurate scientific investigation wrecked this idea long ago, but has left it in the roadway of true scientific progress as though natural selection really produces new kinds after all!

Here is the evidence from a scientific publication published forty years ago: "Natural selection does not and cannot produce new species or varieties or cause modifications of living organisms to come into existence. On the contrary, ITS SOLE FUNCTION IS TO PREVENT EVOLUTION. . . . It may permit the fit to survive by not killing them off, IF THEY ARE ALREADY IN EXISTENCE: but *it does not bring them into being*, or produce improvement in them after they have once appeared." (From *World's Work*, Dec., 1913, p. 177.)

Even Darwin, four years after the publication of his *Origin of Species* wrote to Jeremy Bentham: "When we descend to details, we can prove that no species has changed, nor can we prove the supposed changes are beneficial, which is the groundwork of the theory."

Natural selection cannot start a single organ, it can only forestall the degeneration of what already exists. No new species can occur by this method!

Natural selection cannot produce new species, yet our children are frank-

ly lied to in textbooks and told that this *is* a cause of evolution, when it is *not* a cause. Notice the scientific proof from *artificial* selection by men under the most advanced care.

### Breeding Stock Disproves Evolution

God has given man the power through breeding stock to increase varieties and to improve the parent stock despite the natural tendency to degeneracy. Now let us notice if these improvements bring about the evolution of new kinds or if they merely produce improved varieties *within the kind*.

Douglas Dewar in his book *Difficulties of the Evolution Theory* states: "The breeder, no matter on what animal or plant he experiments, *after he has effected a number of minor changes in any given direction, is suddenly brought to a standstill*; in a comparatively short time he reaches a stage at which he cannot accomplish more, no matter how much he try."

Here is another demonstration that God created the kinds to stay within their bounds, yet putting it within the power of man to improve stock, to increase variety, always reaching a maximum *within* the kind.

If natural selection cannot produce organs, what of Lamarck's theory of the *inheritance of acquired characters*, still believed in by atheistic communists? Can animals and plants transmit to their posterity, characteristics which they have acquired through environmental influence during life?

What is the evidence of scientific experiment? Another wreck in the pathway of progress!

### Acquired Characters Not Inherited

Lamarck's theory is an unproved hypothesis, recognized by most biologists, except Soviet communists, as remotely possible. Yet there are thousands of textbooks in our land written for children trying to reconstruct the history of life by *assuming* that the inheritance of acquired characters is possible. When people want to believe in evolution, they will not give up a cherished belief even in a disproved idea. Lamarck's theory is so badly needed that evolu-

(Please continue on page 47)

# Are the Germans Secretly Dealing with Moscow?

Will there be ANOTHER Russo-German deal? This article reveals the recent undercover negotiations between Russia and Germany. The outcome will determine the FATE of the United States and Great Britain.

by Robert C. Boraker

THE BERLIN CRISIS drags on. But *another crisis* is beginning to stir. The German people are now asking themselves: "Can we rely on the Americans and British?" "What will happen when De Gaulle goes?"

These questions lead to the logical conclusion that maybe the only people the Germans can rely on *are themselves*. They are now thinking: "Perhaps we can strike a *better bargain* with the Russians ourselves." And this is precisely what they are *now doing*—but *secretly* and *undercover!*

## Not a New Idea

Russo-German agreements preceded by *secret negotiations* are *not new* in German strategy. The concept that Germany must ally with the East against the West goes back as early as Frederick the Great—200 years ago! Every German Chancellor since Bismarck has wanted to come to a real understanding with Russia, either by conquest or by negotiation. All have failed so far.

There were two years of secret negotiations between Berlin and Moscow before the Rapallo Treaty of 1922. This treaty had the effect of a "diplomatic bombshell" in the Western world.

Fifteen years later, the Germans and the Russians had secret negotiations which ended in the Berlin-Moscow Pact of August 24, 1939. The *world was stunned* when the Nazi Government announced that it had signed a pact with Moscow. The world was *shocked again* eight days later when Germany invaded Poland and the Second World War began.

## The Repetition of History

HISTORY IS REPEATING ITSELF! The Germans are *AGAIN* negotiating *secretly* with Russia! It all started when

German Ambassador to Moscow, Hans Kroll, received a Soviet document which "suggested that the Russians and the Germans should get together and solve their mutual problems in *direct*, bilateral talks."

Ambassador Kroll—an old Ribbentrop hand who worked for Hitler's Foreign Office—began to have private discussions with Khrushchev last November. German newspapers and politicians got wind of it and began to lambaste him for proposing an "arrangement at all costs" between Germany and Russia.

The London *Daily Express* reported: "The Moscow activities of Dr. Kroll ('I am a personal friend of Khrushchev') have been worrying the Foreign Ministry for some time. There has been no direct evidence. But hints have reached Bonn that he was far exceeding his authority. . . . Suspicion has grown that he was prepared to engineer a situation which could cut the allies' policy from under their feet and *make possible a direct German-Soviet deal*" (March 3, 1962).

Dr. Adenauer sternly ordered Kroll home from Moscow—to be sacked, so everyone thought. His "punishment" was later revealed. "At some time in the future," it was announced, "Dr. Kroll will be moved to a senior post in Bonn's Foreign Ministry. In the meantime he will continue as ambassador" (London *Sunday Express*, March 11, 1962).

Doesn't this kind of punishing indicate that Dr. Kroll was actually acting on orders in making his approach to Khrushchev? Indeed, a strong faction of Adenauer's Government is calling for direct talks between West Germany and Russia over Berlin and the future of Germany. This group is the Free Demo-

cratic Party which joined Dr. Adenauer's Christian Democratic Party in a Government coalition or alliance after last September's elections.

The leader of the German Free Democrats is Dr. Erich Mende—the man possibly slated to be the next Chancellor. Remember his name, for Mende is the *new power in West German politics*. For what is behind Mende is a *right-wing NATIONALIST* party heavily backed by Ruhr industrialists and the 67 seats that he controls in the German Parliament are vital to Dr. Adenauer's Government.

## What Does Germany Want?

Because of his power in German politics, it is important to know what Dr. Mende and his Free Democrats are after. "He wants Germany to *look to the East*—to Russia and the other Communist States. There, he believes, the Germans will find trade, wealth, political influence—and a chance of unity with the lost half of their country.

"And how about the West, which has succored and supported the Germans so long? How about NATO and the string of other pacts which bind West Germany to the West? Dr. Mende doesn't say it in so many words. But what he implies is quite clear. The West can go to the devil!" (London *Daily Express*, January 3, 1962.)

This is exactly what countless Germans are thinking today. Germany wants (1) reunification of East and West Germany, (2) the recovery of the Eastern territories lost after the war, (3) security from being attacked from the East, and (4) *more trade!* This is what Germany hopes to gain by making a deal with Russia.

The Germans well realize that *only*

the Russians can offer a united Germany. The reunification of Germany is only possible through an agreement of some kind with Russia.

About the recovery of Germany's Eastern territories, Chancellor Adenauer stated this in a speech during July, 1951: "The creation of a Europe which is politically and economically strong is the only path leading to the recovery of Germany's Eastern territories, which remains one of the *essential goals* of our activities."

In order to negotiate with Russia about reunification and recovering her Eastern territories, *Germany must have bargaining power*. She is now striving to achieve this by creating a *United Europe* under German domination as a *Third Power Bloc*. Russia respects wealth, strength and *power*.

Dr. Adenauer once confided to some of the members of the Federal Parliament "that negotiations with the Russians would have to wait *until Germany had regained a strong and dominant position in European affairs*" (*Germany Plots with the Kremlin* by T. H. Tetens, p. 6).

It is quite evident that Germany already dominates the Common Market and will continue to do so more and more. Once a German-dominated Europe is fully established, Germany will be ready to negotiate and bargain with Russia—and behind the backs of the Western Allies if necessary.

#### Both Russia and Germany Need Trade

Russia is now ready to bargain with Germany—and for several reasons. East Germany continues to be a problem to the Kremlin. As one German told me recently, most of the young people and skilled workers have fled to West Germany. Old people and deterioration are all that remain. Russia would be willing to give up East Germany if she can gain by doing it.

Khrushchev has also had problems with his "Farm Program." Farm products and consumer goods are desperately needed by Russia, East Germany and the other satellite countries. In a nutshell, *Russia wants the German TRADE!* Likewise, Germany wants to

trade with Russia, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Rumania, and Bulgaria. This is what Dr. Mende and his backers in the Ruhr are dealing for.

Growth in German business activity has been *slowing* in recent months. There is a "stagnation" in the German economy. Export orders are declining and the big export surplus—part of the "German miracle"—has been thinning very fast recently. Germany will soon be forced to look to the East *for more trade markets*. The Germans are eager to exploit the huge Russian market for their exports. The powerful German firm of Krupp is already pushing quietly for an eventual Bonn-Moscow deal.

The Soviets are maneuvering to build up more trade and will try to make a deal with the big Common Market operation. Russia needs heavy machinery and equipment as well as consumer goods to carry out economic expansion plans.

Will Russia sacrifice East Germany in order to get this trade? She undoubtedly will, but Khrushchev will require more. NATO might have to be abandoned by Germany in order to obtain reunification. Germany may also be required to become *neutral*, forcing the British and American troops to leave.

Yes, Germany will be more than willing to break from NATO and BETRAY THE WEST in order to have her country reunited, her Eastern territories recovered, more trade markets, and a non-aggression pact with Russia. This is what the Nazi Underground has been planning for years and is the long-range policy of the Bonn Government!

*Think of it!* What is now developing is a *neutral European power* dominated by Germany and *allied with Russia!* British and American armies will be forced to leave Germany and Europe. Trade agreements and a non-aggression pact will be signed with Russia. Germany will again be united and her "lost lands" restored. *The result will shock the Western World!*

#### A Second Rapallo Would Be FATAL!

When a Russo-German deal is made, you can be sure that the DOOM of the United States and Great Britain is on the horizon. A German-Soviet agreement—a second Rapallo—would be the

GREATEST DISASTER which could befall the West. The *Christian Science Monitor* said that such an agreement would mean that "the power balance of the world would be as disastrously upset as it was by Rapallo and its culmination in the Stalin-Ribbentrop pact. A West German defection from the West would be *fatal!*" (October 21, 1961).

Indeed it would be and that is exactly what the Bible prophecies is going to happen. These prophecies are explained and vividly illustrated in the booklets, "1975 in Prophecy" and "The Book of Revelation Unveiled at Last." Write for them if you don't yet have them.

When will a Russo-German deal be made? Here is a quotation from a circular letter issued in September, 1950 by the Nazi Geo-political Center in Madrid: "However long we may continue to milk the Americans of millions of dollars, there must come the inevitable moment when we shall have to make it crystal clear to them that *we are not willing to join the fight against Russia for American interests*. . . . The Americans have lost the peace, the cold war, and their entire future, *but they are not as yet aware of it!*" (*Germany Plots with the Kremlin* by T. H. Tetens, p. 15).

#### The Cunning of German Politics

Most Americans and Britons are *not aware* of what Germany and Europe are planning against them. This is partly due to the *cunning of German politics*. On July 2, 1961, Chancellor Adenauer explained: "When necessary, I must also show cunning here and there. He who does not have any cunning, should steer clear of politics altogether."

It is Germany's supreme objective to conceal its real intentions as much as possible. Dr. Adenauer does not act like a bull in a china shop. Even before he became Chancellor, he admonished: "We must move *very cautiously*. We ought not to give the impression either in Germany or in the United States that we shall collaborate in any way with the Russians."

Dr. Mende and the Free Democrats are pushing hard for a Russo-German deal. But Dr. Adenauer is cautious and will restrain negotiations from developing too fast. He doesn't want to upset the West into withdrawing their troops,

trade and support before the Germans are ready for such a move. How long will Chancellor Adenauer hold out against the ambitious Dr. Mende? We'll have to wait and see.

In the meantime, it is quite clear that Germany is in the driver's seat and is *rapidly speeding toward Moscow!*

*Time is short!* World events are moving swiftly toward the climax when Great Britain and the United States will be *attacked, conquered* and DESTROYED! But you can receive the *protection* of Almighty God!

## New Threat to U.S.

(Continued from page 14)

the intervention of Jesus Christ, who will put a stop to all of the hellishness of selfish men.

"And this gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world for a witness unto all nations"—this includes the teeming millions in Latin America—"and then shall the end come" (Matt. 24:14). In the meantime God promises *you* can have protection in the horrifying times that soon will envelop the whole world!

## MISSING LINK?

(Continued from page 44)

tionists—even in the West—can't give it up!

Weisman years ago disproved this hypothesis. He demonstrated that there is absolutely *no biologic proof* that changes which are induced by environment in the body tissue become inheritable in the germ plasm. Creationists recognize that in nature there is *adaptation to environment* but this is not inherited. Each successive generation is IN ITS TURN influenced by the environment, but if removed from its environment, the succeeding generations do not exhibit these externally induced changes.

An easy-to-remember example is that of circumcision. Circumcision of a male parent does not affect male children born. Each generation must be circumcised successively.

Thus if one generation cannot transmit to the next generation those char-

acteristics which it has acquired through environment, HOW CAN IT TRANSMIT CHARACTERISTICS WHICH NONE OF ITS ANCESTORS POSSESSED SO AS TO GIVE RISE TO NEW ORGANS AND EVENTUALLY TO NEW SPECIES?

There is no way for the reptile, for example, to have transmitted characters to produce fowl.

### Mutations No Answer!

Neither natural selection, nor inheritance of acquired characters can account for new species. But there are still other ideas advanced by evolutionists as "proofs." The most noted of these is the evidence of mutations. Examples of mutations are hornless cattle, seedless oranges, red sweet potatoes. Hugo De Vries brought *mutations* to evolutionary respectability because they seemed to permit "jumps" in nature.

It is through mutations that great diversity and beauty in flowers have been produced, that benefits to man have occurred in animals, vegetables and trees. But always short-legged sheep are sheep, albino rats are rats, blotched-leafed maize is maize, Shirley poppies are poppies, double petunias are petunias.

The fallacy of the mutation theory lies in its *application*. Mutations do produce varieties, but these varieties are erroneously *assumed* to be evolving species.

Just what is a "species"? *Who* defines what a "species" really is?

Upon the *definition of this one word* hinge most of the *false conclusions* of evolutionists. A book entitled *General Biology*, by W. C. Beaver, contains this very significant note: a Dutch botanist, Hugo De Vries, "discovered the origin of a new species of primrose from the common primrose."

Judging from this one statement, children are taught to assume the evolution of "species" to be true. But is it? The "new species of primrose" is NOT a new *Genesis kind*. A PRIMROSE IS STILL A PRIMROSE. People *assume* that the above definition of species is synonymous with the *Genesis kind*. Actually "species" is synonymous with "variety."

The *Genesis kinds* are divided by the "bridgeless gaps" of nature which distinguish the man *kind* from the bear

*kind*, the oak *kind*, the pigeon *kind*, the ape *kind*, and other *kinds!*

Instead of allowing intentionally deceptive definitions of "species" to deceive us, we ought to *observe* nature to see what constitute the Bible "kinds."

Most materialists class as "species" what in the Bible sense are no more than *varieties within the kind*. When Genesis says that a certain kind is to reproduce after its own kind, we understand from the harmony of nature with the Bible, that there are definite bounds *out of which* a kind does not develop and *within which* there may be innumerable varieties. The *racess of men* are an excellent example of such changes. The human *kind* is divided into racial varieties, yet we are all human beings—of the human *kind*.

Could anything be plainer?

*Mutations* are inherited according to Mendel's principles. This is an important fact because Mendelism prevents evolution. Inheritable characteristics are *not* added generation after generation, but remain the same, although they may be in new combinations.

Mutations NEVER accumulate into large differences so as to become characteristic of a new kind. Mutations are usually indifferent to the organism, producing either slight or no visible effects. Some mutations or sudden changes are *beneficial to man*, but are usually changes for the worse to the plant or animal as far as its ability to survive without man's care. Many mutations tend toward freakishness.

Instead of proving evolution to be true, mutations *demonstrate creation* to be the only possible explanation! These sudden changes always occur *within* the kind, causing variations, but *never* adding fundamental characteristics differing from the original.

Left to survive in nature, mutations are almost invariable handicaps, less fit than the parent stock. *This proves that man was put on this earth to dress and to keep it, otherwise life would degenerate.* Weeds would overcome beneficial plants.

Not one of the preceding methods gives the slightest proof that present laws could have brought the complexity of kinds into the world from a single species. But what about those secondary

arguments so often *assumed* to be "proofs" of man's descent from the anthropoid apes or similar creatures? Such as the assumptions about "vestigial" or "useless" organs?

**Not Proofs!**

These "useless" organs, scientists now find, are useful or necessary in developing to maturity. "Vestigial" organs—commonly called degenerative—do not indicate evolution but rather *degeneration* of organs and body tissue.

*Degenerative organs are no proof of evolution.* All they prove is degeneration.

Nor has evolution ever demonstrated the presence of "developing organs," either on earth today or in all the past geologic record! Not in all the billions of creatures living on the earth or buried as fossils is there one!

Douglas Dewar puts it plainly: "The absence of nascent (developing) organs, then, indicates that evolution, as distinguished from degeneration or mere differentiation, is not taking place in any living animal of which the anatomy is known" (p. 26).

Yet if evolution were the "law of nature," there would be hundreds of "developing" organs in different stages of growth throughout nature!

Some evolutionists subtly call upon another theory called *phylogeny*, which purports to prove that the stages of human growth before birth indicate sub-human ancestry. This is only an assumption—unprovable by its very nature!

Here is what an eminent scientist writes about it: "Admittedly it does not apply to the embryonic development of plants." Yet, to be true it would have to apply, if as evolutionists believe, plants and animals have descended from a common ancestor.

"The animal embryo in the course of its development does NOT follow a number of supposed ancestral stages but acquires directly and expeditiously all the features of its (human) family." Thus states the scientist Dewar on pages 37 and 56 of his book.

**Creation Proved Necessary**

Unless *creation* of numerous forms of life had taken place *at the same time*, many creatures could not now be alive

because their existence and propagation depends on other life.

There are many insects—bees and wasps—which need flowering plants to survive. Many plants could not have propagated unless these insects were *created at the same time* to transmit pollen for reproduction of new plant life.

How plain that *creation did occur!*

Life could not have developed as evolutionists claim. Since it theoretically takes time to develop new organs, "evolving" creatures would have perished in the process of change before becoming specialized. Their developing organs would have made them clumsy and incapable of defending themselves.

Why is there NOT ONE SINGLE CREATURE IN THE PROCESS OF ACQUIRING MORE EFFICIENT AND COMPLEX ORGANS TODAY?

Why is there NOT A SINGLE CREATURE IN ALL THE GEOLOGIC RECORD WITH EVOLVING ORGANS? Why is all nature in the geologic record divided into distinct kinds and separated by "bridgeless gaps."

**"Just Give Us More Time!"**

As usual, evolutionists have a clever argument to evade the inevitable. Just given *time* enough and everyone could see evolutionary wonders performed! These blind materialists ought to know better. They carelessly overlook what any mathematician knows—that the element *time* does not cause the laws of nature to *change* from age to age. *Time* may affect the rapidity of development but it *cannot alter the biological laws which*, as we have learned, *prevent the possibility of any evolutionary changes beyond the created bounds of each kind.*

All scientific research is based on the ABSOLUTE KNOWLEDGE that this principle is true. Without it there could be no scientific experiments.

So we come back to the only rational explanation which alone is in harmony with, and in no wise disproved by scientific *fact*: "In the beginning GOD created the heaven and the earth." Man, groping helplessly in ignorance and unhappiness, *needs* the "missing link" that will bring him into contact with his Creator! That link is Christ.

Printed in U.S.A.

13

The PLAIN TRUTH  
Box 111—Pasadena, California

MR. MRS. THEODORE E. FINOV  
27944 SAYRE  
TINLEY PK ILL

SECOND CLASS POSTAGE  
Paid at  
Pasadena, California